Lateral variations of pressure-temperature evolution in non-cylindrical orogens and 3-D subduction dynamics: the Betic-Rif Cordillera example
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Abstract – The long-term Pressure-Temperature-time-deformation (P-T-t-d) evolution of the internal zones of orogens results from complex interactions between the subducting lithosphere, the overriding plate and the intervening asthenosphere. 2-D numerical models successfully reproduce natural P-T-t-d paths, but most orogens are non-cylindrical and the situation is far more complex due to 3-D pre-orogenic inheritance and 3-D subduction dynamics. The Mediterranean orogens are intrinsically non-cylindrical. Their 3-D geometry results from the complex shape of the Eurasian and African margins before convergence and from the dynamics of slab retreat and tearing leading to strongly arcuate belts. More than many other segments, the Betic-Rif belt is archetypal of this behavior. A synthesis of the tectonometamorphic evolution of the Internal Zones, also based on recent findings by our group in the framework of the Orogen Project (Alboran domain, including the Alpujárride-Sebtide and Nevado-Filábride complexes) shows the relations in space and time between tectonic and P-T-evolutions. The reinterpretation of the contact between peridotite massifs and Mesozoic sediments as an extensional detachment leads to a discussion of the geodynamic setting and timing of mantle exhumation. Based on new ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages in the Alpujárride-Sebtide complex and a discussion of published ages in the Nevado-Filábride complex, we conclude that the age of the HP-LT metamorphism is Eocene in all complexes. A first-order observation is the contrast between the well-preserved Eocene HP-LT blueschists-facies rocks of the eastern Alpujárride-Sebtide Complex and the younger HT-LP conditions reaching partial melting recorded in the Western Alpujárride. We propose a model where the large longitudinal variations in the P-T evolution are mainly due to (i) differences in the timing of subduction and exhumation, (ii) the nature of the subducting lithosphere and (iii) a major change in subduction dynamics at ∼20 Ma associated with a slab-tearing event. The clustering of radiometric ages obtained with different methods around 20 Ma results from a regional exhumation episode coeval with slab tearing, westward migration of the trench, back-arc extension and thrusting of the whole orogen onto the African and Iberian margins.
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Résumé – Variations latérales de l’évolution pression-température dans les orogènes non cylindriques et dynamique 3-D de subduction, le cas de l’orogène bético-rifain. L’évolution pressure-température-temps-déformation (P-T-t-d) des zones internes des orogènes résulte d’interactions complexes entre la lithosphère subduite, la plaque chevauchante et le manteau asthénosphérique. Les modèles numériques 2-D reproduisent assez bien les chemins P-T-t-d, mais les orogènes sont rarement cylindriques. L’héritage de la géométrie 3-D pré-orogénique et la dynamique 3-D de subduction rendent en effet la situation souvent plus complexe. Les orogènes méditerranéens sont intrinsèquement non cylindriques
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1 Introduction

Cylindrical orogens, whose evolution can be fully described along 2-D sections, almost never exist. The first-order features of the Himalayas can be represented in 2-D (Gansser, 1981; Searle et al., 1987; Guillot et al., 2008) but it would not pay tribute to the peculiar situation of the east and west syntaxes where the geometry of the interacting lithospheres becomes complex in 3-D (Burg et al., 1997). The evolution of the Andes is also very different along strike, whether the slab underneath is flat or steep (Oncken et al., 2006). Such a 3D non-cylindricity is also well imaged by the present-day North-Caribbean region where the Cuba Upper Cretaceous-Paleogene high-pressure-belt is laterally branching with the Hispanic Oligocene transpressional tear-zone of the present-day Lesser Antilles subduction (e.g. Pindell et al., 2006). This aspect is even more crucial in small orogens like the Mediterranean ones showing tight curvatures such as the Betic-Rif arc, the Alps and the Apennines (Fig. 1), subduction polarity changes such as between the Alps and the Apennines or along-strike evolution from a mountain belt to a collapsed and extended segment such as between the Hellenides and the Aegean Sea, due to interactions of subducted slabs at depth (Malinverno and Ryan, 1986; Wortel and Spakman, 2000; Facenna et al., 2004; Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Jolivet et al., 2013; Platt et al., 2013; Malusa et al., 2015). These complex 3-D interactions between crustal deformation and slab dynamics involve variable pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions in metamorphic units along strike. The record of exhumed metamorphic units from the internal zones of Alpine orogens is thus key for understanding these thermal and mechanical interactions (Jolivet et al., 2010; Malusa et al., 2015). The Alps, the Hellenides-Taurides or the Betic-Rif orogens are among the best studied examples in terms of P-T-time evolution because of a long history of international research and excellent outcropping conditions. It has been shown that the shape of P-T paths highlights considerable variations along and across strike in these orogens and that these variations can be correlated with changes in the nature and thickness of the subducting lithosphere, the kinematic boundary conditions and/or subduction geometry and velocity in space and time (Selverstone and Spear, 1985; Davy and Gillet, 1986; Bousquet et al., 1997, 2008; Jolivet et al., 2003, 2010; Yamato et al., 2007). The advent of high-resolution 3-D numerical modeling allows testing the effects of these first-order parameters, but conceptual models should be first proposed based on field observations and laboratory-based assessment of P-T-time paths, among other types of data.

The tight Betic-Rif arc (Figs. 1–3) is a natural prototype where 3-D subduction dynamics imparts a strong control on crustal-scale tectonometamorphic evolution (Azañón et al., 1994; Azañón and Göffé, 1997; Jolivet et al., 2003; Facenna et al., 2004; Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Platt et al., 2013). The present-day structure of the two orogenic segments, the Betic Cordillera in Spain and the Rif in Morocco, facing the Alboran Sea, has been described in detail after a century of research (de Orueta, 1917; Blumenthal, 1927; Egeler and Simon, 1969; Andrieux et al., 1971; Kornprobst, 1974; Loomis, 1975; Didon and García-Dueñas, 1976, 1977; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 1997, 2008; Jolivet et al., 2003). The axes of development and the timing of development and exhumation of metamorphic complexes (MCC) during syn- and post-orogenic extension or the formation of intra-mountain basins in a rather short period in the Oligocene
and Miocene. Large longitudinal displacements, strong paleomagnetic rotations, coeval thrusting in the External Zones and extension in the Internal Zones are ingredients of a complex 3-D evolution of the piece of lithosphere deforming between the converging Africa and Eurasian plates in the western Mediterranean (Frizon de Lamotte et al., 1991; Lonergan and White, 1997; Jolivet et al., 2008; Platt et al., 2013; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; Crespo-Blanc et al., 2016).

Within the Betic Cordillera and the Rif, the Alpujárride-Sebtiide and Nevado-Filábride Complexes (Figs. 2–4), forming the metamorphic core of the orogen (also known as the Alboran Domain), have recorded these complex interactions at depth and their evolution can be analyzed using the tools of structural geology, metamorphic geology and radiometric dating. Different models have been proposed so far, involving the deep lithosphere, either through delamination, convective
Fig. 2. Tectonic map of the Alboran Sea, the Betic Cordilleras and the Rif, compiled from Comas et al. (1999), d’Acremont et al. (2020) and Chalouan et al. (2008). Lithospheric-scale E-W section through the Betic Cordillera. Deep crustal and lithospheric structures after de Lis Mancilla et al. (2018) and Gueydan et al. (2019). The Ronda peridotite massif is sandwiched between the Guadaiza and Blanca units underneath and the Jubrique Unit above. Abbreviations: Bl: Blanca, BB: Beni Bousera, Gua: Guadaiza, Jubr: Jubrique, LAB: lithosphere-Asthenosphere boundary, M.A.C.: Malaguide-Alpujárride Contact detachment, Pz: Paleozoic, RP: Ronda Peridotite.
removal or slab retreat (Platt and Vissers, 1989; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Lonergan and White, 1997; Chalouan et al., 2001, 2008; Michard et al., 2002; Facenna et al., 2004; Jolivet et al., 2006; Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; de Lis Mancilla et al., 2015; Williams and Platt, 2018). Recent tomographic investigations have shown the complexity of slab geometry beneath the Gibraltar arc (Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Bezada et al., 2013; Palomeras et al., 2017) and thus the complexity of its evolution. Different models of the geometry of slabs were proposed, associated with different finite longitudinal displacements of the Alboran Domain along the southeastern

---

**Table: Tectonic and Geodynamic Events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First sediments deposited on the metamorphic rocks</td>
<td>(1) Loomis, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>(14) Zeck and Williams, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(15) Zeck and Whitehouse, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite and phlogopite</td>
<td>(16) Platt et al., 2003a, b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>(17) Whitehouse and Platt, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>(18) Esteban et al., 2004a, b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>(19) Pearson and Nowell, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR isochron</td>
<td>(20) Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fission tracks</td>
<td>(21) Esteban et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(22) Platt et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>(23) Janots et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>(24) Michard et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>(25) Platt et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>(26) Serrano et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>(27) Rossotti et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>(28) Esteban et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-Th/Pb</td>
<td>(29) Gomez-Pugnaire et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>(30) Acosta-Vigil et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(31) Massonne, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allanite</td>
<td>(32) Ruiz-Cruz and Sanz de Galdeano, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-Th</td>
<td>(33) Frasca et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet</td>
<td>(34) Homonnay et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm/Nd</td>
<td>(35) Li and Massonne, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(36) Kirchner et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(37) Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(38) Sanchez-Nasas et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>(39) Barich (2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** Compilation of available radiometric ages in the Rif and Betic internal zones (Loomis, 1975; Priem et al., 1979; Monié et al., 1991, 1994; De Jong et al., 1992; Johnson et al., 1997; Platt et al., 1998, 2003a, 2003c, 2005, 2006; Sosson et al., 1998; Blichert-Toft et al., 1999; Platt and Whitehouse, 1999; Zeck and Whitehouse, 1999, 2002; Montel et al., 2000; Sánchez-Rodriguez and Gebauer, 2000; López Sánchez-Vizcaíno et al., 2001; Zeck and Williams, 2001; Platt et al., 2003c; Whitehouse and Platt, 2003; Esteban et al., 2004a, 2004b, 2005, 2011; Pearson and Nowell, 2004; Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Janots et al., 2006; Michard et al., 2006; Serrano et al., 2006; Rossetti et al., 2010; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2012; Acosta-Vigil et al., 2014; Massonne, 2014; Ruiz-Cruz and Sanz de Galdeano, 2014; Barich, 2016; Kirchner et al., 2016; Frasca et al., 2017; Sánchez-Nasas et al., 2017; Homonnay et al., 2018; Li and Massonne, 2018; Santamaria-Lopez et al., 2019). Right column shows an interpretation of the main tectonic and geodynamic events recorded in the orogen. Samples marked B refer to those dated by Bessière (2019).
margin of Iberia and different slab configurations (Lonergan and White, 1997; Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Jolivet et al., 2003; Vergès and Fernández, 2012; Chertova et al., 2014; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; Romagny et al., 2020).

In this paper, based on new investigations in the Alpujárride Complex, we discuss the 3-D evolution of the Betic-Rif orogen and propose a conceptual model explaining the lateral changes of P-T conditions and timing of exhumation. We focus on the differences between the western and eastern-central Betics in terms of exhumation. We focus on the differences between the western Maghrebian Tethys explains the contrast between colder margins and of the transition to the oceanic crust of the preservation of the H of Africa and Iberia.

2 Tectonic and geodynamic setting

We first shortly review the geodynamic context of the Betic Cordillera and the Rif in order to extract a few major questions that remain pending. The Betic Cordillera and the Rif are the two arms of the Gibraltar arc, a tightly curved Mediterranean orogen partly formed during the westward retreat of the lithospheric slab hanging underneath (García-Dueñas and Navarro-Vila, 1976; Platt, 1986; Goffé et al., 1989; Comas et al., 1992, 1999; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Vissers et al., 1995; Feinberg et al., 1996; Lonergan and White, 1997; Chalouan et al., 2001; Michard et al., 2002; Platt et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2013; Jolivet et al., 2003, 2006; Crespo-Blanc et al., 2016; Martínez-García et al., 2017). It belongs to a series of orogens (Fig. 1) formed within the wide and diffuse convergence zone of the African plate toward Eurasian plate, including the Atlas mountains, the Iberian Central System, the Iberian Range and the Pyrenees (Vergès and Sabat, 1999; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2000, 2004, 2008; Moutheureau et al., 2014; Booth-Rea et al., 2015; Teixell et al., 2018; Diefendorf et al., 2019). Crustal thickening started in the Eocene (Lonergan, 1993; Lonergan and White, 1997) or maybe earlier in the Late Cretaceous (Daudet et al., 2020) within the so-called Alboran Domain (Andrieux et al., 1971; Balanyá and García-Dueñas, 1987; Platt et al., 2013; Casciolo et al., 2015), assumed as a part of the ALKapCa block (Fig. 1), a postulated continental block whose remnants are found dispersed around the Alboran Sea in Spain and Morocco, in the Kabylies in Algeria, in the Peloritani Mountains in Sicily and in Calabria (Bouillín et al., 1986). Extension started in the Oligocene as in all the Mediterranean back-arc basins (Comas et al., 1999; Platt and Whitehouse, 1999; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000; Platt et al., 2003c). The Internal Zones of the Betic-Rif orogen (Fig. 2) were subjected to intense crustal thinning between ~30 and 8 Ma. Before 8 Ma, the predominant regime was extensional in the Alboran Sea that evolved as a back-arc basin, and compressional all around (Platt and Vissers, 1989; Bourgois et al., 1992; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Martínez-Martínez et al., 1997, 2002, 2004; Comas et al., 1992, 1999; Martínez-Martínez and Azañón, 2002; Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Platt et al., 2013). Since about 8 Ma, the main regime is a slow N-S shortening associated with perpendicular extension giving rise to long wavelength folding in the Betics, recent and active normal faulting at the western end of the Sierra Nevada and strike-slip and reverse faults offshore in the Alboran Sea and along the eastern coast of Spain (De Smet, 1984; De Larouzière et al., 1988; Montenat and Ott d’Estevou, 1999; Mejíñinger and Vissers, 2006; Pedrella et al., 2010; Augier et al., 2013; Martínez-García et al., 2013; Do Couto et al., 2016; Janowski et al., 2017).

A major kinematic change occurred some 20 Ma ago (Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Azañón et al., 1997; Jolivet et al., 2006, 2008). The direction of brittle and ductile extension was mainly N-S before and E-W after 20 Ma. This major change was probably a consequence of a slab tear episode that triggered a change in the direction of retreat from southward to westward (Faccenna et al., 2004; Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Jolivet et al., 2006). Before 28 Ma, the Alboran Domain has recorded evidence of HP-LT metamorphism (Goffé et al., 1989; Lonergan, 1993; Bouybaouene et al., 1995; Michard et al., 1997, 2006; Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Platt et al., 2005; Li and Massonne, 2018; Homonnay et al., 2018), with typical high-pressure and low-temperature (HP-LT) metamorphic conditions recorded in the two deepest metamorphic complexes, the Alpujárride-Sebtides and the Nevada-Filábride Complexes (Gómez-Pugnaire and Fernandez-Soler, 1987; Goffé et al., 1989; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Puga et al., 2000; Booth-Rea et al., 2002; Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Negro et al., 2005; Platt et al., 2006; Santamaria-Lopez et al., 2019; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019).

2.1 Slab retreat, back-arc basin and frontal accretion, a typical Mediterranean scheme

Slab retreat during the Late Oligocene and the Miocene has shaped the Alboran Sea and led to the sharp bend we see today from the Betics to the Rif. The most obvious structures in the Internal Zones of the two branches of the orogen relate to the episode of slab retreat and associated E-W extension. Then, after ~8 Ma, extension was replaced by a dominant N-S contractional regime. This recent N-S compression led to modest finite shortening rates of a few mm/yr (Borque et al., 2019; Valetti et al., 2019) and has thus not strongly modified the crustal thickness, preserving the main extensional contacts in the internal zones of the Betics and the Rif. The Internal Zones were then affected by E-W directed very large-scale folds associated with the relieves of Sierra Los Filabres, Sierra Nevada or Sierra Contraviesa (Fig. 2). The E-W Oligo-Miocene extensional episode is marked by the formation of several metamorphic core complexes (MCC) before and after 20 Ma (Platt, 1986; Platt and Vissers, 1989; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Lonergan and Platt, 1995; Balanyá et al., 1997; Martínez-Martínez et al., 1997; Platt et al., 2003a, 2013) (Figs. 3 and 4). Two major detachment systems have been described between the main metamorphic complexes (Figs. 2
Fig. 4. Geology of the Internal Zones of the Betic Cordilleras. A: tectonic map showing the different units of the Alpujárride and Nevado-Filábride complexes. White lines are the cross-sections of Figure 4C. The preservation of Fe-Mg-carpholite is shown. B: Detailed map of the western Alpujárride complex with the directions of stretching lineations from Williams and Platt (2018) and Bessière (2019). C: Two E-W cross-sections. D: Detailed map of the central and eastern Alpujárride with lineations and sense of shear after Jabaloy et al. (1993), Augier et al. (2005b, 2013), Jolivet et al. (2008).
also precisely the age of most radiometric ages available in the Betic-Rif arc is the tightest of the Hellenic and Calabrian arcs, two examples resulting from the retreat of slab portions (Faccenna et al., 2004; Spakman and Wortel, 2004). The Betic-Rif arc is the tightest of all three arcs (Platt et al., 2003a; Faccenna et al., 2004) because the space available for slab roll-back and the width of the oceanic domain to be subducted between Africa and Iberia are narrower. The extensional deformation observed in the internal zones of the Betics during the Miocene is a consequence of the westward motion of the Alboran block migrating above the retreating slab. The initial position of the Alboran Domain (and of the whole AlKapaPeCa block) is debatable, but several hundreds of kilometers of relative displacement are acknowledged in all recent studies (van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; Leprêtre et al., 2018; Romagny et al., 2020).

The last slab retreat episode started around 20 Ma, which is also precisely the age of most radiometric ages available in the Internal Zones of the Betics and the Rif (Fig. 3). This clustering of ages has been classically interpreted as witnessing a high-temperature (HT) overprint, coeval with tearing of the slab and fast back-arc extension (Platt et al., 2013, for a review). The observation of similar Early Miocene ages within metamorphic units free of any significant thermal overprint (Bessière, 2019) however raises the question of the significance of this episode, coeval with the overthrusting of the Internal Zones on top of the External Zones. This is one of the main questions addressed in the present paper.

### 2.2 Alpine subduction and collision

The evolution of the belt during the Alpine orogenic construction is not well established and the age of the HP-LT metamorphic conditions is still debated (Augier et al., 2005b; Platt et al., 2005, 2006; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019). The HP-LT parageneses found in the Alpujarreña-Sebidi and Nevado-Filabrid Complexes (Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Puga et al., 2000; Booth-Rea et al., 2002; Augier et al., 2005b; Massonne, 2014; Li and Massonne, 2018) can be interpreted as evidence for subduction of these continental units. The highest pressure is recorded in the uppermost unit of the Nevada-Filabride Complex with eclogite-facies units (Gómez-Pugnaire and Fernandez-Soler, 1987; Puga et al., 2000; Li and Massonne, 2018; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019; Santamaría-Lopez et al., 2019) and low-T blueschists with preserved Fe-Mg-carpholite, aragonite and salitiote in the Alpujarreña Complex (Goffé et al., 1989; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Booth-Rea et al., 2002). Available radiometric ages span a rather long period running from the Eocene to the Early Miocene in both complexes (Fig. 3) (see more details below), but there is no consensus on the relative timing of metamorphic events in the two domains. In particular, the age of the HP-LT metamorphism could be diachronous, i.e. younger in the Nevada-Filabride Complex where Middle Miocene ages have been proposed (López Sánchez-Vizcaino et al., 2001; Platt et al., 2006). A debated Eocene age for the first HP-LT metamorphism has been proposed for both complexes (Monié et al., 1991; Augier et al., 2005a; Platt et al., 2005; Li and Massonne, 2018), which is in line with the observation of an unconformity of Oligocene conglomerates on top of deformed Eocene deposits in the Sierra Espuña, a part of the Malaguide Complex of the Betics (Lonergan, 1993; Lonergan and Platt, 1995). This Eocene episode is recorded only within the Alboran Domain while the formation of the external fold-and-thrust belt at the expense of the sedimentary cover of Iberia is essentially Miocene (Platt et al., 2013; Crespo-Blanc et al., 2016). This difference in the timing of deformation signs the large distance between Alboran and the southern margin of Iberia in the Eocene. The southern margin of Iberia was affected by shortening only after the Alboran domain had travelled westward following slab retreat (van Hinsbergen et al., 2014, 2019; Romagny et al., 2020). Recent investigations in the Flysch complex sandwiched between the Internal and External Zones however suggest an earlier compressional episode, as early as the Late Cretaceous, in an intermediate area between the Alboran Domain and the Iberian margin, coeval with the initiation of convergence between Africa and Eurasia (Daudet et al., 2020).

### 2.3 Mantle exhumation

One of the particular features of the Betic-Rif orogen is the presence of large sub-continental mantle exposures, the Ronda peridotite massif in the Betics and Beni Bousera peridotite massif in the Rif, associated with smaller massifs (Carratraca, Sierra Alpujata, Ceuta) (de Orueta, 1917; Blumenthal, 1927; Kornprobst, 1976; Obata, 1980; Reuber et al., 1982; Platt et al., 2003b; Frasca et al., 2017; Homonnay et al., 2018) (Figs. 2 and 4). Several models were proposed for the emplacement of these massifs, with drastically different mechanisms, from mantle diapirism (Loomis, 1975; Weijermars et al., 1985; Zeck et al., 1990; Weijermars, 1991; Tubia et al., 2004), inversion of a back-arc basin (Booth-Rea et al., 2005; Gueydan et al., 2019), extrusion of a mantle wedge (Tubia and Cuevas, 1986; Vauchez and Nicolas, 1991; Tubia et al., 1997; Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013), collapse of the orogen and/or hyper-extension (Kornprobst and Vielelev, 1984; Doblás and Oyarzun, 1989; van der Wal and Vissers, 1996; Platt et al., 2003a, 2003b; Hidas et al., 2013; Précigout et al., 2013; Bessière, 2019; Rossetti et al., 2020) or a combination of these mechanisms (Reuber et al., 1982;
Garrido et al., 2011). The present-day situation of the Ronda peridotite massif is described as a thrust package also including crustal units, resting on top of the External Zones and the timing of this late thrusting episode is well constrained in the early Miocene on stratigraphic grounds (Bourgois et al., 1972; Serrano et al., 2006; Gueydan et al., 2019). However, the exhumation process from mantle depth, as well the timing of exhumation, are still debated (Kornprobst et al., 1990; Blichert-Toft et al., 1999; Montel et al., 2000; Pearson and Nowell, 2004; Garrido et al., 2011; Azdimousa et al., 2013; Mazzoli et al., 2013; Gueydan et al., 2019; Rossetti et al., 2010, 2020). Several studies have suggested an important role played by hyper-extension to exhumate these mantle rocks up to intermediate depth before a subsequent emplacement in the upper crust (Kornprobst and Vielzeuf, 1984; Argles et al., 1999; Platt et al., 2003b; Précigout et al., 2013; Johanesen et al., 2014; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; Johanesen and Platt, 2015; Frasca et al., 2017; Gueydan et al., 2019), but there is no consensus on the timing of this extensional episode. Proposed ages range from the early Permian (Rossetti et al., 2020; Acosta-Vigil et al., 2014) to the Jurassic (Michard et al., 1991; Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 1996), the Late Oligocene or Early Miocene (Précigout et al., 2013; Frasca et al., 2017; Gueydan et al., 2019). Recent models also propose a Mesozoic hyper-extension and mantle exhumation within the segmented rift system between Iberia and Africa (Fernández et al., 2019; Pedrera et al., 2020). The main reason for this lack of consensus is the clustering of most radiometric ages at ca. 20 Ma in the whole Alpujárride/Sebtides realm mentioned above. This question is the major obstacle in the search of an evolution model of the entire orogen.

From this brief review of the geodynamic context of the Betic Cordillera three main questions arise: (i) Which is the timing of the HP-LT event (i.e. the age of the subduction zone metamorphism) in the Alpujárride-Sebtide and Nevado-Filábride metamorphic complexes, hence the ages of the subduction episodes affecting the Alboran domain? (ii) Which is the significance of the observed clustering of radiometric ages around 20 Ma despite a range of different methods with different closure temperatures? and (iii) What is the age and which are the mechanisms of emplacement of the subcontinental peridotite massifs of both the Rif and the Betics? We now summarize the geological context of the Alpujárride and Nevado-Filábride metamorphic complexes of the Betic Cordillera in more detail.

3 Geological context of the Alboran Domain

3.1 Large-scale structure, the main complexes and contacts

The Alboran Domain (Andrieux et al., 1971; Balanyá and García-Dueñas, 1987; Platt et al., 2013; Casciello et al., 2015) is traditionally divided in three major metamorphic complexes (Torres-Roldán, 1979). These are from top to bottom the Malaguide, the Alpujárride and the Nevado-Filábride Complexes in the Betics (Blumenthal, 1927; Torres-Roldán, 1979) (Figs. 2 and 4). While lateral equivalents of the Malaguide and Alpujárride Complexes can be found in the Rif, there respectively named Ghomarides and Sebtides (Kornprobst and Durand-Delga, 1985; Chalouan and Michard, 1990; Chalouan et al., 2001, 2008), the Nevada-Filábride Complex is found only in the Betics. It is exposed below the Mecina and Filabres shear zones, a set of major detachments separating the Alpujárride at the hangingwall from the Nevado-Filábride at the footwall, in the core of the Sierra Nevada-Sierra de los Filabres and Sierra Alhamilla MCCs (Jabaloy et al., 1993; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002; Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Agard et al., 2011) (Figs. 2 and 4). The contact between the Malaguide and the Alpujárride complexes corresponds to the MAC (Malaguide Alpujárride Contact) detachment (Lonergan and Platt, 1995; Vissers et al., 1995).

The metamorphic complexes making up the Alboran Domain were defined earlier based on the recognition of stacked units with the repetition of a simple sequence made of a Paleozoic basement and a Triassic cover essentially made of micaschists and marbles (Torres-Roldán, 1979). Each of these complexes has recorded its own metamorphic evolution. The Malaguide Complex does not show a significant Alpine metamorphic imprint while the Alpujárride and the Nevado-Filábride complexes record a multi-stage Alpine metamorphic deformation (see a synthesis in Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019). The observed maximum-temperature gradient in the Malaguide Complex is however interpreted as a progressive resetting of old radiometric ages during the Alpine episode showing some Alpine thermal record in this complex too (Negro et al., 2006).

The Alpujárride/Sebtides complex is further divided into several units with contrasted metamorphic evolutions (García-Dueñas and Navarro-Vila, 1976; Goffé et al., 1989; Azañón et al., 1992, 1994; Sanz de Galdeano and Andreo, 1995; Balanyá et al., 1997; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Michard et al., 2006) (Figs. 4–7). Most of these units also show a common stratigraphic succession of the Permian-Triassic cover deposited on top of the Paleozoic basement, but the metamorphic grade can be very different from one place to another (Michard et al., 1997, 2006). Several recent publications have emphasized the possibility that a significant part of the high-temperature metamorphism found in the Paleozoic basement is of Paleozoic age and not Alpine as classically proposed (Montel et al., 2000; Rossetti et al., 2010, 2020; Sánchez-Navas et al., 2017; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019). For instance, a recent detailed approach of the high-temperature metamorphism in the Torrox gneiss suggests that the high-temperature event is Late Paleozoic in age and not Alpine (Fig. 8) (Sánchez-Navas et al., 2017), a conclusion also reached for the entire Alpujárride Complex by Gómez-Pugnaire et al. (2019). This possibility has been also proposed for the deepest granulitic units of the Alpujárride/Sebtides, forming the envelope of the Ronda peridotites. For this reason, in the following we present a re-appraisal of the metamorphic signature of the Alpujárride complex focusing mainly on the post-Permian metasedimentary cover in order to avoid confusion with the possible occurrence of Paleozoic assemblages in the basement units (see also Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019).

One then observes a wide range of P-T conditions. Slightly metamorphosed units are found in Sierra de Lujar or Sierra de Gador. Very low-temperature HP-LT metamorphism is observed in the Sierra Alhamilla with the preservation of salicite (Goffé et al., 1989). Similar HP-LT conditions are found in Salobreña Unit near Trevenque on the western flank...
of the Sierra Nevada with preserved parageneses with aragonite and Fe-Mg-carpholite. On the opposite, high-temperature and low-pressure (H7-LP) conditions are recorded in the marbles and gneiss of the Western Alpujárride in the Jubrique and Blanca units (Azañón and Goffé, 1997). A striking contrast is thus seen between the very cold H7-Jubrique and Blanca units (Azañón and Goffé, 1997) and the higher-temperature Ojén eclogite-facies rocks in the Western Alpujárride (Tubía and Gil Ibarguchi, 1991).

Both the Alpujárride basement and the Triassic metamorphosed units share a common gently dipping foliation and H-T parageneses with the massive crystallization of post-tectonic andalusite and/or sillimanite even where the Triassic metasediments show H7-LT parageneses (Simancas and Campos, 1993; Rossetti et al., 2005; Sánchez-Navas et al., 2017; Williams and Platt, 2018; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019), thus implying a significant condensation of metamorphic isograds. This observation was interpreted as reflecting an episode of strong attenuation by extension after the first nappe stacking (Azañón et al., 1997; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Azañón and Crespo-Blanc, 2000). Such condensed isograds are observed over the entire Alpujárride complex from Sierra de la Contraviesa in the center, all the way to the Jubrique Unit resting on top of the Ronda peridotite in the west (Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Crespo-Blanc, 1995; Loneragan and Platt, 1995; Balanyá et al., 1997; Negro et al., 2006; Platt et al., 2013; Williams and Platt, 2018). The situation of the basement units in the Western Alpujárride is in fact more complex as they either rest on top of the peridotite (Jubrique Unit) or below (e.g. Blanca or Guadaiza units) (Fig. 2).

Several episodes of deformation were recognized in the Alpujárride complex. The first episode (D1) is associated with the HP-LT parageneses and the second one (D2) with the contraction of isograds described above and thus interpreted as extensional (Martínez-Martínez and Azañón, 1997; Azañón and Crespo-Blanc, 2000; Rossetti et al., 2005; Williams and Platt, 2018). A third episode (D3) was compressional, reworking the extended stack and shortening it again, refolding the earlier D2 extensional detachments and producing a post-HP-LT metamorphic nappe stacking (called D3 nappe stack in this paper; see Azañón and Crespo-Blanc, 2000). The main observed direction of stretching is N-S or NE-SW and the main sense of shear during D2 is top-to-the north (Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Azañón and Crespo-Blanc, 2000; Jolivet et al., 2003, 2008; Rossetti et al., 2005; Williams and Platt, 2018).

P-T-time paths recorded by the different tectonic units of the Alpujárride-Sebtxide Complex are shown in Figure 5. The significance of P-T paths can in general be discussed, whether they represent the actual P-T evolution of the tectonic unit where the samples come from or are flaved by a certain amount of disequilibrium. We consider that, even when a rock has not been totally equilibrated, e.g. totally recrystallized with a paragenesis in full equilibrium with the new P-T conditions along a given P-T path, local equilibria observed in the thin sections represent steps along the P-T path, especially when water-rich minerals are abundant, which is the case of most rocks in the Alpujárride-Sebtxide and Nevado-Filabride complexes. This is one way of reconstructing P-T paths and the reader is referred to detailed studies of this question (Vidal and Parra, 2000; Parra et al., 2002; Trotet et al., 2006; Yamato et al., 2007; Laurent et al., 2018).

We first describe the shape of P-T paths and leave the timing for a later discussion. Figure 5A shows the shape of P-T paths in the Permo-Triassic metasediments for most units, except for the Filali Unit (Sebtides), the Ojén eclogites and the basement drilled during ODP Leg 161. Apart from the latter, most P-T paths show a peak of pressure aligned on a single HP-LT gradient of about 10°/km followed by an isothermal decompression path, before reaching a warmer gradient of about 60°/km. The Escalante and Sierra Alhamilla units show exceptionally cold decompression paths attested by the preservation of aragonite or salicite. These last two P-T paths and the alignment of pressure-peaks on an HP-LT gradient sign a subduction gradient and the isothermal retrograde paths are indicative of a fast exhumation. The internal organization of the Alpujárride Complex has been strongly reworked by the late compressional stage (D3) as shown by the position of the lower-pressure Lujar-Gador unit as the structurally deepest unit in the Alpujárride complex (Fig. 6A), implying that the different nappes have been superposed by thrusting after the peak of metamorphism and part of the exhumation took place (Azañón et al., 1994; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Azañón and Crespo-Blanc, 2000). Figure 5B shows the P-T paths of the Ronda and Beni Bousera peridotites as well as those of associated high-temperature crustal units. The same isothermal decompression is observed for the HT-crustal units except for the very high temperature reached by the dynamothermal aureole of the Ronda peridotite.

The Nevado-Filabride Complex is also divided in several large-scale units (Figs. 2 and 4). From top to base, one finds the Bédar-Macael Unit, Calar Alto Unit and Ragia Unit (García-Dueñas et al., 1988, 1992; Jabaloy et al., 1993; Martínez-Martínez et al., 1997; López Sánchez-Vizcaíno et al., 2001; Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b). The two lower units are essentially made of dark metasediments including metapelites, metaquartzites and minor marbles, while the Bédar-Macael unit shows in addition thick marbles, late-Variscan orthogneiss, metabasites and ultramafic rocks interpreted as meta-ophiolites (Puga et al., 1999). The metamorphic grade has long been considered the highest in the Bédar-Macael Unit with eclogite-facies metabasites and metapelites (Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Platt et al., 2006 and references therein), but the recent study of Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019) reveals almost similar peak-conditions in all three units (Fig. 9). The Calar Alto and Ragia units however show mostly upper greenschist-facies parageneses and a clear retrograde evolution toward the lower greenschist-facies when approaching the Filabres Shear Zone, but detailed study of the pelitic parageneses shows that it also experienced earlier eclogite-facies conditions. The main deformation observed in these three units is the second-stage foliation folded in the domes of the Sierra Nevada, Sierra de los Filabres and Sierra Alhamilla and the stretching lineation trends E-W in average (Platt and Vissers, 1989; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002; Jabaloy et al., 1993; Augier et al., 2005a). Post-8 Ma compression further contributed to the domal shape of the Nevado-Filabride Complex as well as other domes in the Alpujárride Complex such as the Sierra de Gador (Weijermars et al., 1985; Sanz de Galdeano and Vera, 1992; Janowski et al., 2017). Detailed maps show a divergence
of the lineation trend on either sides of the domes, NW-SE trending on the northern side and NE-SW trending on the southern side associated with overall top-to-the west sense of shear and strong localization of deformation toward the Filabres Shear Zone (Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b; Agard et al., 2011).

The two main domes are flanked by Miocene extensional basins where evidence of syntectonic sedimentation are commonly observed (Augier et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2013; Meijninger and Vissers, 2006). The Huercal-Overa Basin was deposited until the Tortonian above a detachment connected to the Filabres Shear Zone. The direction of extension shows a strong rotation from the deepest parts of the domes (E-W) up to the syn-sedimentary normal faults controlling the basins (almost N-S) (Augier et al., 2005a, 2013).

The post HP-LT metamorphic D3 nappe stack of the Internal Zones was thus reworked by two stages of extension and several low-angle extensional detachments (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Crespo-Blanc, 1995; Balanyá et al., 1997; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002) (Figs. 2 and 4). The MAC and the Mecina-Filabres detachment concentrated most of the deformation, but several smaller-scale detachments have been described within the Alpujárride Complex (Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Crespo-Blanc, 1995). The present-day superimposition of units with different maximum pressure shows the position of the main extensional structures that have accommodated the largest part of crustal thinning (Figs. 2, 4, 6 and 7). The MAC has the low-pressure Malague Complex in its hanging-wall and the HP-LT Alpujárride complex in the footwall, with a large pressure gap showing the amount of extension (Lonergan and Platt, 1995). The Mecina and the Filabres shear zones carry the Alpujárride Complex in their hanging-wall and the eclogite-facies Bédar-Macael unit in their footwall, showing again a gap of pressure with higher pressure downward (Jabaloy et al., 1993; Martínez-Martínez and Azañón, 2002; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002). Although the peak conditions in the three main Nevado-Filábride units are similar according to the recent study of Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019) the basal contact of the Bédar-Macael unit brings marbles, metabasites and ultramafic rocks of oceanic origin (Puga et al., 1995, 2017) on top of continental material and was thus a thrust in the first place, before the extensional reactivation (García-Dueñas et al., 1988, 1992; Jabaloy et al., 1993).

3.2 Neogene basins and tectonic evolution

Neogene basins were deposited on top of the Alboran Domain from the early Miocene onward (Bourgois et al., 1972; Feinberg et al., 1990; Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994; Comas et al., 1999; Montenat and Ott d’Estevou, 1999; Serrano et al., 2006; Iribarren et al., 2009; Do Couto et al., 2016) (Fig. 2). Several infill stages can be recognized in the interactions between regional tectonic deformation and basin formation (Briend et al., 1990; Montenat and Ott d’Estevou, 1990, 1999; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2004; Serrano et al., 2006; Clauzon et al., 2015; Do Couto et al., 2015, 2016). Brittle low-angle normal faults formed in two main stages, before and after the late Langhian (Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994). The first set is
Fig. 6. Maps and cross-sections (see Fig. 4) of the Internal Zones of the Betics showing the peak pressure (upper) and temperature (lower) conditions in the different units. No P-T constraints are available in the weakly or non-metamorphosed External Zones.
mainly coeval with the exhumation of the Alpujárride Complex and the top-to-the north kinematics, while the second set is associated with the exhumation of the Nevado-Filábride Complex. The direction of brittle extension during the second episode is variable from one place to the other and seems strongly controlled by the formation and amplification of extensional domes (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2004; Augier et al., 2005a, 2013). During this period, maximum thinning is recorded in the now-offshore domain (Comas et al., 1992, 1999; Do Couto et al., 2016). Deep sea drilling has recovered high-temperature metamorphic rocks attributed to the Alpujárride Complex in the Alboran Basin and the peak of temperature was dated around 27 Ma (Comas et al., 1999; Soto and Platt, 1999). The basement of the Alboran Sea is likely

Fig. 7. E-W cross-sections (see Fig. 2) of the Internal Zones of the Betic at lithospheric scale showing the peak pressure (upper) and temperature (lower) conditions in the different units.
mostly made of rocks belonging to the Alpujárride-Sebtide Complex and partly to the Malaguide-Ghomaride Complex (Soto and Platt, 1999; Sánchez-Gómez et al., 1999; Olivier and Paquette, 2018).

The thick sedimentary sequence of the West Alboran basin (WAB, up to 9 km thick) was mainly deposited from the Burdigalian or even the Aquitanian to the Late Tortonian (Bourgois et al., 1992; Comas et al., 1992, 1996, 1999; Chalouan et al., 1997; Soto and Platt, 1999; Sautkin et al., 2003; Do Couto et al., 2016; de la Peña et al., 2020). The detachments described on land probably extended offshore during the Burdigalian and controlled the first deposits in the WAB (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Comas et al., 1992, 1996, 1999; Do Couto et al., 2016). Later sedimentation led to the accumulation of a very thick sequence without any significant migration of the depocenter as shown by the analysis of the successive sedimentary sequences on seismic profiles (Do Couto et al., 2016). The WAB was formed as a sag basin transported westward above the retreating slab (Do Couto et al., 2016). During the same period, flysch-type deposits filled the migrating trench/foreland basin (Daudet et al., 2020).

A major tectonic/geodynamic change then occurred at about 8 Ma ago, when the present-day compressional regime started. The Miocene basins were subjected to tectonic inversion and the metamorphic domes amplified, now forming the highest reliefs of the Betic Cordillera (Weijermars et al., 1985; Vissers et al., 1995; Augier et al., 2013). The previously formed basins were then folded and cut by large-scale transcurrent faults that cross the entire Alboran Sea and reverse faults (de Larouzière et al., 1988; Booth-Rea et al., 2004; Martínez-García et al., 2013, 2017; Do Couto et al., 2014; Estrada et al., 2017; Janowski et al., 2017; d’Acremont et al., 2020; Lafosse et al., 2020). This compressional regime combined with the eustatic fluctuations are responsible for the closure of the narrow corridor between the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, triggering the Messinian salinity crisis (Cita, 1973; Hsü et al., 1973, 1978; Gautier et al., 1994; Clauzon et al., 1996).
In the following, we introduce the major questions addressed in this paper, namely (i) the significance of the observed clustering of radiometric ages around 20 Ma, (ii) a discussion of the debated age of the HP-LT event in the Alpujárride and Nevado-Filábride Complexes and (iii) the emplacement mechanism of the Ronda peridotite body.

3.3 Geochronological constraints and the 20 Ma event

As shown on Figures 3, 8 and 10, numerous radiochronological data over the whole Internal Zones of the Betics and the Rif, especially in the Alpujárride/Sebtides Complexes show a strong clustering of ages between 24 and 18 Ma with different methods in the two regions, from U/Pb on zircon to 40Ar/39Ar on micas (see figure caption for references, see also Gómez-Pugnaire et al. (2019) for a compilation). These ages were acquired on metamorphic rocks of both complexes in the whole Internal Zones, from the migmatite leucosomes or granitic dykes intruding the peridotites in the west, to the lower-grade Alpujárride micaschists in the centre and east. This compilation gathers all sorts of available data, acquired with a large variety of methods since 1975. All references are given in the caption of Figure 3. Dating methods are the following: U/Pb on zircon, monazite and allanite, Lu/Hf on garnet, Sm/Nd on clinopyroxene, K/Ar on plagioclase and biotite, 40Ar/39Ar on biotite, phlogopite, white-micas and amphibole, whole rock Rb/Sm isochrons, zircon and apatite fission-tracks. These data, acquired with different methods applied to different minerals, are often interpreted solely based upon the closure-temperature concept but other parameters such as fluid-rock interactions or strain intensity may imply more complex interpretations (e.g. Rossetti et al., 2010; Sánchez-Navas et al., 2014; Beaudoin et al., 2020), which we discuss here.

The variety of the adopted geochronological methods include high-temperature systems like U/Pb on zircons, intermediate-temperature systems like 40Ar/39Ar on white micas and also low-temperature thermochronology like fission tracks on apatite, which allows exploring the whole P-T space. Figures 8 and 10 show the distribution on results in the Betics and the Rif; most ages appear even more clustered around 18–22 Ma. The fact that all methods yield very similar ages pleads in favor of an extremely short event at the turn of the Oligocene and Miocene, encompassing exhumation from mantle depth of the Ronda peridotite and its emplacement within the upper crust, associated with fast cooling, or a short thermal event (Platt and Whitehouse, 1999; Rossetti et al., 2010; Platt et al., 2013; Précigout et al., 2013). The exact significance of this event is however not clear, whether it corresponds to a short tectonic event associated with a thermal peak or a fast cooling event (Platt et al., 1996, Soto and Platt, 1999). The Nevado-Filábride Complex has also recorded ages at this same period but it also shows younger ages, until the Middle Miocene (López Sánchez-Vizcaíno et al., 2001; Platt et al., 2006), and fission-track data show a progressive exhumation below the extensional Filabres Shear zone lasting until the late Miocene (Johnson et al., 1997). The exhumation of the Alboran Domain thus proceeded sequentially, starting with the Alpujárride-Sebtides Complex and continuing with the Nevado-Filábride Complex. The observation that early Miocene sediments were deposited on exhumed Alpujárride units, including the mantle
peridotite (Bourgois et al., 1972; Serrano et al., 2006), questions the significance of this clustering of ages within such a short period that is almost coeval with the deposition of the first unconformable sediments.

3.4 Age of the HP event metamorphism in both complexes

Figures 3 and 8 show a compilation of ages attributed by the authors to the peak of pressure with the addition of older (Paleozoic) ages retrieved from zircons (see figure caption for references). Most such ages have been acquired in the central and eastern Betics, both in the Nevada-Filábride and Alpujarride Complexes with different methods, mainly U/Pb on zircon or monazite and \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar on white micas. Two age-groups can be identified on this compilation, Eocene ages and Miocene ages. Eocene ages are found in the micaschists of the central and eastern Alpujarride Complex (\(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar on white micas) and locally in the Nevado-Filábride Complex (\(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar on white micas and U/Pb on monazite), when Oligocene and Miocene ages are more regionally distributed. An Eocene age is also found in the Jubrique unit (U/Pb on monazite) in the western part of the Alpujarride Complex. In the Rif, the available geochronological data only provide a minimum age of 28–29 Ma for the HP-LT event in the Sebtides, except K-Ar ages on amphibole and white mica in the Beni Mzala window (Michard et al., 2006; Homonnay et al., 2018) (Fig. 10).

Miocene ages are found in the Nevada-Filábride Complex, in the Bédar-Macael and Calar-Alto Units, leading some authors to conclude that the Nevada-Filábride Complex had not been subducted before the Early Miocene (López Sánchez-Vizzaino et al., 2001; Platt et al., 2006). Note that this timing for subduction of the Nevada-Filábride Complex overlaps with the Early Miocene event in the Alpujarride Complex. The age of the HP-LT event is in fact debated (Fig. 9). Augier et al. (2005a) based on a detailed study of the P-T-time evolution of syn-kinematic white-micas in the Bédar-Macael and Calar Alto units show a regular evolution of ages along the retrograde P-T paths, from more than 30 Ma for the highest pressure conditions to about 14 Ma for the last record at the brittle-ductile transition, consistent with LT thermochronology. In this case, the evolution of ages is correlated with the chemical composition and thus the P-T conditions of phengite growth and with progressive deformation. Insets in Figure 9 show this evolution also on P-time and T-time diagrams. Correlation of these P-T-time paths with deformation for the Calar Alto and Bédar-Macael units show that the pressure decrease is associated with a succession of deformation stages and progressive strain localization along the Filabres Shear Zone with a top-to-the west sense of shear.

This timing seems in a first approach incompatible with the middle Miocene ages obtained by López Sánchez-Vizzaino et al. (2001) through U/Pb SHRIMP dating on zircon from the Cerro de Almirez ultramafic Complex, which are interpreted as testifying for the peak of pressure. Using a different approach, Platt et al. (2006) dated garnets from eclogite-facies rocks from the Calar Alto and Bédar-Macael units with the Lu/Hf method. The four samples they dated have recorded HP-LT conditions and they all return young ages between 13 and 17 Ma. Some \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar laser probe ages on white micas yield very similar ages, which is taken as an indication of fast exhumation. The peak of pressure is thus considered to be of Miocene age by Platt et al. (2006) (Fig. 5). It should be noted here that the P-T estimates of the peak of metamorphism associated with these young ages in Platt et al. (2006) are not fully compatible with those obtained by Augier et al. (2005a). The peak conditions in Bédar-Macael unit are found lower than in Calar Alto Unit at variance with the recent study of Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019). Kirchner et al. (2016) dated eclogites and micaschists in the Nevada-Filábride Complex with Rb/Sr isochrons and obtained ages between 20 and 13 Ma, which they relate to the HP stage. Like Platt et al. (2006) and Behr and Platt (2012), Kirchner et al. (2016) question the significance of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar ages obtained by Augier et al. (2005a) that would be stained with excess argon because they contradict the Lu/Hf ages, neglecting the good correlation between (i) P-T evolution, (ii) the succession of deformations and (iii) ages shown in Augier et al. (2005a). While this study focused on Triassic protoliths, Behr and Platt (2012) performed their analysis on Paleozoic rocks that may explain “old”, meaningless ages that simple reflect Ar inheritance rather than genuine excess Ar. More recently, Li and Massonne (2018) provided microprobe U-Th-Pb dating results from monazite grains from the Calar Alto and Ragua Units. These authors find two groups of ages, one HP group centered on 40 Ma and one LP group centered on ~24 Ma. They interpret these two groups as two successive P-T loops, a situation that is not suggested by the continuous decrease of pressure recorded by the chemistry of white micas in Augier et al. (2005a) or the P-T estimates obtained in several other studies (Gómez-Pugnaire and Fernandez-Soler, 1987; Puga et al., 1999, 2000; Platt et al., 2006).

The peak HP-LT conditions published by Augier et al. (2005a) and Li and Massonne (2018) were recently confirmed by Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019) with the significant difference that all three units of the Nevada-Filábride Complex have recorded a similar peak of pressure at ~20–22 kbar and 500–550 °C and a second higher peak of temperature at lower pressure and higher temperature around 5–6 kbar and 500–600 °C. U/Pb dating on allanite constrains the LP-HHT stage at 13–15 Ma (Santamaria-Lopez et al., 2019).

The \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar method on white micas and the U/Pb method on monazite thus both give Eocene ages (>30 Ma and 40 Ma, respectively) for the peak of pressure in the Nevada-Filábride Complex. The younger age group found with the U/Pb method on monazite (24 Ma) is older than the young ages (13–17 Ma) found with the Lu/Hf method. The discrepancy between results of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar and Lu/Hf method for dating the peak metamorphic conditions is not yet explained. Platt et al. (2006) and Kirchner et al. (2016) got rid of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar data set by suggesting that the sample were characterized with excess argon without demonstration. The argon data set however for it the progressive coeval evolution of deformation, chemistry and age and it is confirmed by the U-Pb method on monazite. According to Scherer et al. (2000) the closure temperature of the Lu/Hf method is strongly sensitive to the size of garnet grains, ranging from about 800 °C for large ones (4 cm) to 540 °C for small garnets (0.25 mm). In Platt et al. (2006), the dated garnets are relatively small (~1–2 mm; see Platt et al., 2006, Supplementary Materials) and the closure
temperature could be rather low, close to the peak temperature and to the temperature during isothermal exhumation and the ages could represent any part of the retrograde P-T path. This is one possibility to reconcile the three data sets. As discussed in depth elsewhere (e.g. Beaudoin et al., 2020), the significance of 40Ar/39Ar white mica ages in the context of deformation involves both the concept of closure-T (in the Dodsonian sense, Dodson, 1973) along with the concept of dynamic closure whereby thermally-induced Ar diffusion interacts with deformation-induced subdomains in the case of cold P-T paths <450–500°C, as recorded here. Ar retention/resetting can be dominated by recrystallization (over diffusion), locally producing an array of apparent ages at the scale of a single sample that do not conform with theoretical grain size trends in cooling ages but rather reflect the sequence of overprinting/recrystallization steps recorded at the mineral scale. The final Ar record can be highly P-T-strain specific, explaining why markedly different 40Ar/39Ar patterns have been reported without necessarily requiring the effect of excess Ar incorporation.

### 3.5 Age and mechanism of emplacement of the Ronda peridotite

The Ronda peridotite is distributed in several sub-massifs, the largest of them in the Sierra Bermeja. The mantle rocks are systematically associated with lower crustal units where H-T-LP conditions in the field of anatexy are observed along the contact (Dürr, 1963; Loomis, 1975; Westerhof, 1977; Lundeen, 1978; Tubía and Cuevas, 1986; Tubía et al., 1997; Platt et al., 2003a, 2003b; Mazzoli et al., 2013; Acosta-Vigil et al., 2014; Barich et al., 2014; Barich, 2016). The Jubrique Unit is one of such units; it shows a strong downward gradient of maximum temperature from Permo-Triassic phyllites and sandstones at the top, to granulites and kinzigites at the bottom of the sequence (Loomis, 1972a, 1972b; Torres-Roldán, 1979; Balanyá et al., 1997; Negro et al., 2006) (Fig. 11). Metamorphic isograds are strongly condensed, showing a high thermal gradient and possible crustal thinning, possibly coeval with that observed in the Alpujárride and Sebtide units in general (Balanyá et al., 1997; Argles et al., 1999; Homonnay et al., 2018; El Bakili et al., 2020).

#### 3.5.1 Geometrical relations

The Jubrique Unit is currently resting above the Ronda peridotite through a steep NW-dipping contact. Investigations along this contact have revealed the existence of a left-lateral, or top-to-the SW shear zone, the Bermeja-Jubrique Shear Zone (Balanyá et al., 1997), interpreted by most authors as an extensional shear zone (Précigout et al., 2013; Johanesen et al., 2014; Johanesen and Platt, 2015). The western contact of the peridotite extends towards the NE, changing its dip towards the SE. There, the peridotite and an underlying small slice of the Jubrique unit are placed on top of the Mesozoic sediments of the Nieves Unit (Dorsale Calcaire). Due to these geometrical relationships, these contacts (base of the Jubrique slice and base of the peridotites) were classically interpreted as thrusts (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013), connected with the thrust contact of the Jubrique Unit on top of the Nieves Unit. Ductile deformation and high-temperature metamorphism are both observed in a narrow zone (~2–3 km across strike) with a steep metamorphic gradient. This H7 narrow zone has been interpreted as the result of the overthrusting of a hot slab of peridotite on top of the Nieves Unit sediments. Following the study of van der Wal and Vissers (1996), Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra (2011) and Mazzoli et al. (2013) propose a subduction channel model where the hot peridotite is exhumed by deep thrusts and the Nieves cover is deformed and metamorphosed underneath. The situation of the Blanca and Guadaiza units, belonging to the Alpujárride Complex, is classically interpreted in the same way. They are described as tectonic windows lying below the peridotite unit, showing a so-called dynamothermal aureole along the contact where partial melting is observed (Lundeen, 1978; Tubía and Cuevas, 1986; Esteban et al., 2008). Other basement units are instead without doubt lying on top of the peridotite near the contact with the Nieves Unit, similarly to Jubrique Unit. The Robledal klippe is one example (Bessière, 2019) and Sanz de Galdeano et al. (Sanz de Galdeano and Andreo, 1995; Sanz de Galdeano, 2017) propose a completely different geometry of the region with the basement units lying systematically on top of the peridotite (Fig. 11). The case of Guadaiza unit has been recently particularly discussed in these papers. Figure 11C shows an oblique view on this region where the 1:50,000 geological map of Marbella (Piles Mateo et al., 1973) is superimposed on top of the Google Earth relief image. Guadaiza Unit crops out in a depressed area and the first order geometry suggests that it underlies the topographically higher nearby Ronda peridotite (Lundeen, 1978; Tubía and Cuevas, 1986). Several points shown by the white arrows on Figure 11 however suggest that the situation is more complex and that the contact around Guadaiza unit has to be severely folded. The situation of this contact is thus not as simple as a slab of peridotite on top of crustal units and the proposition of Sanz de Galdeano et al. (Sanz de Galdeano and Andreo, 1995; Sanz de Galdeano, 2017) stating that the basement units are systematically resting above the peridotite should be further explored. At least, the position as a klippe of the Robledal gneiss makes no doubt on this image and it is thus in a position similar to Jubrique Unit, resting on top of the peridotite.

#### 3.5.2 P-T conditions

P-T conditions in and around the Ronda peridotite massif are shown on Figure 5B. The peridotite records a continuous evolution from mantle conditions to low-pressure and low-temperature serpentinisation. The P-T path shows a progressive exhumation of the peridotite from underneath the Jubrique crustal unit, or its equivalent in the Rif, and the Nieves unit Mesozoic sediments. The contact with the Nieves cover was reached at low pressure, no more than 3 kbar (Loomis, 1972a, 1972b; Tubía and Cuevas, 1986; Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013). The dynamothermal aureole records variable evolutions such as a strong temperature increase toward the contact with the peridotite from about 500°C to almost 800°C along the contact of Guadaiza unit (Esteban et al., 2008) or a temperature and pressure decrease from eclogite-facies conditions to H7-LP conditions near the Sierra Alpujata peridotite (Tubía et al., 1997).
The peridotite massif shows a distribution of lithological facies that results from its exhumation and the progressive localization of deformation. Obata (1980) mapped their distribution within the massif. P-T conditions estimated in the peridotite record its progressive exhumation from ~24–27 kbar and 1000–1100 °C to ~10 kbar and 800–900 °C, and finally to low-temperature conditions (Obata, 1980; Garrido and Bodinier, 1999; Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000; Garrido et al., 2011). The eastern part, far from the Bermeja-Juribe Shear Zone, is made of a plagioclase peridotite. It grades into a granular peridotite, then a spinel tectonite and finally garnet-spinel mylonite along the contact with Jubrique Unit (van der Wal and Vissers, 1996). Different interpretations of this distribution of facies have been published. Obata (1980), van der Wal and Bodinier (1996), Lenoir et al. (2001), Garrido et al. (2011) and Précigout et al. (2013) consider the granular peridotite as litchromatic mantle asthenospherized in a late stage. Johannesen and Platt (2014, 2015) instead describe the percolation of a melt in an earlier peridotite and a progressive deformation and formation of the western mylonite, an idea already suggested by Garrido and Bodinier (1999). The peridotite massif is also invaded by garnet-pyroxenite layers, diversely deformed, witnessing early episodes of mantle melting during decompression (Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000; Garrido et al., 2011; Précigout et al., 2013).

3.5.3 Ages

The age of the HT-LP metamorphism and the age of exhumation are debated (Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019). One important constraint is the reworking of serpentinite and Alpujárride rocks at the base of the Víñuela formation of early Buriádian age, thus about 20 Ma (Bourgeois et al., 1972; Serrano et al., 2006). Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer (2000) provided zircon U-Pb ages from the pyroxenite layers and obtained two groups of magmatic ages, one around 280 Ma and one between 180 and 130 Ma. Rims of these zircons provide young ages around 20 Ma which they interpret at witnessing peak-temperature conditions. The Mesozoic ages fit the rifting history of the Tethys Ocean with several events in the Permian and Triassic, in the Early Jurassic and others until the Early Cretaceous. Jurassic and early Cretaceous riffs are found all around the Iberian plate, from the nearby Gulf of Cádiz, the Rif, the Pyrenees, the Cantabrian basin, the Galicia margin, the Columbretes basin in the southern Valencia Trough and the Maestrat and Cameros basins in the Iberian Range (Boillot et al., 1988; Roca and Guimerà, 1992; Salas and Casas, 1993; Salas et al., 2001; Lagabrière and Bodinier, 2008; Michaud et al., 2014, 2020; Ramos et al., 2017; Ethève et al., 2018; Asti et al., 2019; Gimeno-Vives et al., 2019; Rat et al., 2019).

Similarly, Perm-Carboniferous ages are retrieved from zircons in the anatectic granulites forming the envelope of the Beni Bouzera peridotites, allowing Rossetti et al. (2020) to propose that the first exhumation of the Beni Bouzera peridotite was coeval with late Variscan extension in the Permian and that the Early Miocene ages correspond to a late event, while the peridotite was already high in the crust. However, Pearson and Newell (2004) dated pyroxenites in Beni Bouzera massif with the Lu/Hf method and suggested that the massif has cooled below ~800 °C some 24 Ma ago.

3.5.4 History of deformation in the peridotite

Important constraints come from the relative timing of partial melting and the crystallization of garnets in the basal part of Jubrique Unit and in Ojén Nappe at the contact with the Sierra Alpujatá peridotite massif. The observation of melt inclusions and nanogranites within garnets (Bartoli et al., 2013; Barich et al., 2014; Massonne, 2014) shows that garnets crystallized in the conditions of partial melting. Massonne (2014) considers this high-temperature event as the result of the progressive burial of the peridotite and neighboring crustal units during the Eocene and not as a direct consequence of the contact between the hot peridotite and the crust at lower pressure. In Massonne’s (2014) interpretation, the peridotite is thus not responsible for partial melting in the crust. Barich (2016) has furthermore dated these garnets with the Lu/Hf method and found only old ages from about 289 Ma to 130 Ma. No Alpine ages are retrieved with that method in the Jubrique Unit. This inevitably leads to the question of the age of partial melting in general in this region. Is it all late Paleozoic or Mesozoic? This is the conclusion reached by Sánchez-Nava et al. (2017), Gómez-Pugnaire et al. (2019) and the same history was recently proposed by Rossetti et al. (2020) for the Beni Bouzera peridotite envelope. In the end, the strongest observation supporting a Miocene partial melting event is the occurrence of late granitic dykes intruding both the peridotite and the crustal units documented by Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer (2000) and Rossetti et al. (2010, 2013). Rossetti et al. (2010) favor the occurrence of two main partial melting events, one deep during the Paleozoic and one during the early Miocene at low pressure conditions, as constrained by the occurrence of magmatic andalusite in the Miocene products.

Based on a detailed structural study of the deformation gradient in the peridotite when approaching the Bermeja-Jubrique Shear Zone and one-dimensional numerical modelling of the evolution of the dynamothermal aureole, Précigout et al. (2013) suggest that the deformation occurred between 30 and 22 Ma from a depth of ~18 km, as indicated by the depth of formation of the aureole, before the final emplacement on top of the external zones. This leads Gueydan et al. (2019) to propose that the peridotite was exhumed in an Oligocene-Miocene back-arc before it was oocluded on top of the neighboring continental margin. In these latter works, the argument for a back-arc setting of the extensional Bermeja-Jubrique Shear Zone is the high thermal gradient necessary to maintain high temperature at such a shallow depth.

The internal structure of the peridotite is an important constraint for this discussion. It is composed of four petro-structural domains (Fig. 11A) from northwest to southeast: garnet/spinel mylonite (Grt/Sp1-mylnonite), a spinel-tectionite (Spinel-t), a granular peridotite and a plagioclase tectionite. Here are their characteristic features:

- The Grt/Sp1-mylnonite is in direct contact with the Jubrique Unit. It corresponds to highly deformed spinel-bearing peridotites and garnet-bearing pyroxenite layers. Very locally along the contact, a few Grt-bearing peridotite can also be found; the best outcrops can be observed in the Beni Bouzera peridotite in Morocco (Reuber et al., 1982; Saddiqi et al., 1988; Kornprobst et al., 1990). Overall, the stretching lineation is close to horizontal, while the foliation strikes NE-SW and dips steeply toward the
NW (60°–80°). All garnets are partially kelyphitized, i.e., surrounded by a symplectitic corona of very fine-grained, fibrous intergrowth of pyroxene and spinel±amphibole. These pseudomorphs have been produced during the breakdown of garnet and they do not show any trace of strain (Obata, 1980). At the extreme west of the Grt/Spl-mylonite, some pseudomorphs of graphitized diamonds have been also found (Pearson et al., 1989; Davies et al., 1993). Like the kelyphites, they are located in boudins of pyroxenites;

- the Spl-tectonite is part of a km-scale shear zone that includes the (i) Grt/Spl-mylonite. It is also composed of Spl-bearing peridotite and Grt-bearing (with kelyphite) pyroxenite layers. The shear zone is characterized by continuous steeply-dipping foliation trajectories across the two domains and a strain gradient across strike (Précigout et al., 2007, 2013). Both the Grt/Spl mylonite and Spl tectonite are typical of lithospheric mantle deformation during cooling and decompression, from the garnet to the spinel stability fields (Garrido et al., 2011). They are also cross-cut by chromium-rich pyroxenites that are weakly folded during the formation of the foliation (Précigout et al., 2013), directly related to the partial melting that occurred in the granular peridotite, i.e. D-group from Garrido and Bodinier (1999);

- in the granular peridotite domain, no foliation is observed, and all grains are significantly larger than in the spinel tectonite. Most peridotites are lherzolite and harzburgite with Spl-bearing aluminous pyroxenite layers. The transition between the granular domain and spl tectonite, i.e., the so-called recrystallization front (van der Wal and Bodinier, 1996; Lenoir et al., 2001), slightly cross-cuts the foliation of the Spl-tectonite (Lenoir et al., 2001; Précigout et al., 2013) and three main features related to the foliation of the spinel tectonite are observed: (1) the recrystallization front follows the map-scale bending of the Spl-tectonite (Précigout et al., 2013), (2) isoclinal folds of aluminous pyroxenites have axial planes parallel to the foliation of the spl-tectonite, and (3) elongated spinel grains are parallel to the lineation of the spinel tectonite (van der Wal and Vissers, 1996). Altogether, these features indicate that the granular peridotite overprinted the Spl tectonite by progressive upward, porous-flow “asthenospherization”. In contrast, Johanesen et al. (2014) provided evidence of deformed residual melt in the Spl tectonite (close to the front), which suggests instead that the tectonite overprinted the granular domain. Actually, all these features are consistent if melting and deformation in the Granular domain and tectonite were coeval. This interpretation is further supported by the slight folding and absence of transposition of the Cr-rich pyroxenites injected across the shear zone during partial melting in the granular domain (Précigout et al., 2013), which shows that partial melting in the granular domain was coeval (tardi-tectonic) with strain localization in the mantle lithosphere above;

- the Plagioclase-tectonite (in fact Spl-bearing peridotite with stretched coronas of plagioclase around spinels) domain affects the granular domain to the south with a penetrative foliation. Overall, the foliation strikes E-W, highly oblique on the Spl tectonite foliation (NE-SW), but the lineation/kinematics in the two domains are very close (top to the SW). There are also numerous folded pyroxenite layers with two families of folds, at metric scale, such as observed everywhere in the massif, and at hectometric scale. While meter-scale folds pre-date the formation of the Pl-tectonite (they are probably related to the deformation of the shear zone before partial melting of the granular peridotite), the axial plane of hectometric folds is parallel to the foliation plane of the Pl-tectonite. Two main features finally characterize the Pl-tectonite: (1) the presence of podiform chromite layers, also present further west in the Spl-tectonite and in other peridotite massifs. Some of these chromites contain zoned zircons with a large range of ages from the Precambrian to 20 Ma (González-Jiménez et al., 2017), (2) the occurrence of ultramylonitic complexes nearby the granular domain (not at the base of the massif) and only observed at the extreme west of the Pl-tectonite domain (Hidas et al., 2013, 2015; Précigout et al., 2013).

4 Tectono-metamorphic evolution, new observations

New field observations and radiometric ages obtained in the framework of Eloïse Bessière’s PhD (Bessière, 2019) in the Alpujárride Complex brings some of the answers and reopen some debates.

4.1 Significance of the Ronda peridotites-Nieves Unit contact, thrust or extensional detachment?

The geological map of Figure 11 shows that the western contact of the Ronda peridotite massif is continuous in space. However, the nature of the juxtaposed rocks in contact changes abruptly along strike. In the southwest, the peridotite is overlain by the Jubrique Unit, while in the northeast the peridotite lies with a steep contact next to the Nieves Unit (Dürr, 1963; Balanyá et al., 1997; Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013), also referred in the literature as the Dorsale Calcaire (Fallot, 1937; Durand Delga and Foucault, 1967; Kornprobst and Durand-Delga, 1985; Chalouan et al., 2008). A detailed study of the latter contact shows that the orientation of stretching lineations and fold axes in the ductilely deformed marbles and recrystallized limestones and dolostones of the Nieves Unit are all parallel to the trace of the contact (Bessière, 2019) and not perpendicular as previously published (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013) (Fig. 11). Kinematic indicators suggest a left-lateral motion during ductile deformation, consistent with the left-lateral, top-to-the SW motion described along the Jubrique-Bermeja Shear Zone (Précigout et al., 2013; Johanesen and Platt, 2015). The contact between mantle rocks and marbles can be observed in several quarries where serpentinite and magnetite ore deposits have been exploited in the past (Bessière, 2019). The contact shows well-preserved ductile deformation with intense folding of the ore body with metamorphic olivine. The contact and its ductile deformation are thus preserved without significant brittle reactivation. The peridotite in these quarries is intensely serpentinitized with local
occurrence of ophicalcites. Bessière (2019) interprets all these observations as indicating a transition from ductile deformation at high temperature, to brittle deformation at low temperature, as indicated by the presence of ophicalcites, with pervasive fluid infiltration in a context of hyper-extension similar to the observations in exhumed ocean-continent transition or hyper-extended rifts in the Alps (Picazo et al., 2013) or the Pyrenees (Lagabrielle et al., 2019a, 2019b and references therein) as well as at present-day magma-poor Mid Oceanic Ridges (Picazo et al., 2012). Hyper-extension in such contexts is responsible for the exhumation of sub-continental mantle rocks during rifting and the interaction of the exhumed peridotites with sea water (Clerc et al., 2012, 2014, 2015; Lagabrielle et al., 2019a, 2019b). Raman spectrometry of carbonaceous material (RSCM) geothermometry (Beyssac et al., 2002; Lahfid et al., 2010) applied to an extensive sampling within the Nieves metamorphic succession (Bessière, 2019) allows mapping the steep $T_{\text{max}}$ gradient already documented along a section with classical metamorphic petrology tools by Mazzoli et al. (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra,
obliquity of isograds with respect to the peridotite-marble contact and $T_{\text{max}}$ at the contact decreases progressively toward the northeast from temperatures over 600°C in Jubrique Unit (Negro et al., 2006) to low temperature below 300°C in the Nieves Unit. These observations show that $T_{\text{max}}$ within the metasediments of Nieves Unit and basement rocks of Jubrique Unit along the western contact of the peridotite regularly decrease from the Jubrique unit in the SW to northeastern part of the Nieves Unit, suggesting that this contact was once cutting down-section from the sedimentary cover down to the crust and finally the lithospheric mantle underneath. Bessière (2019) thus proposes a continuation of the extensional Bermeja-Jubrique Shear Zone toward the northeast along the peridotite-marbles contact (Fig. 12). This extensional shear zone cutting down-section would then correspond to a top-to-the southwest low-angle extensional shear zone, named the Nieves-Los Reales Detachment. In this interpretation, the Nieves Unit would represent the original sedimentary cover of Jubrique Unit. The top of Jubrique Unit shows Permo-Triassic phyllites, absent in Nieves Unit, and locally Triassic marbles, but the whole sequence is highly thinned and attenuated by late extensional faults (del Olmo Sanz et al., 1987; Balanyà et al., 1997). The Jubrique marbles then correspond to the lowermost part of the Triassic sequence (Dürr, 1963), highly attenuated by extension, which remained attached to the basement below a decollement, and the Nieves Unit the upper part of the Jubrique cover. The overall geometry can be described as a mantle core complex (Brun et al., 2018) similar to oceanic core complexes observed along slow mid-oceanic ridges with a downward-concave normal fault (MacLeod et al., 2009). It would have been rooted at depth as a steep-dipping ductile shear zone and gets flatter up-section while the footwall get exhumed into the brittle field (Lavier et al., 1999). It is noteworthy that such a crustal-scale structure juxtaposing continental rocks with exhumed mantle was also modeled and proposed by Brune et al. (2014) as the “exhumation channel”, corresponding for these authors to the ultimate phase of asymmetric rifting before the onset of seafloor spreading.

Because the mineralization of ophicalcic and magnetite are still observable and almost undeformed in the brittle regime, Bessière (2019) argues that this contact has been sealed when the extensional event ended. The palaeo-thermal structure in the H7-metamorphism zone in the Nieves Unit showing an obliquity of isograds with the contact also reinforces our extensional emplacement model. The proposed extensional setting with mantle exhumation fits the observations of Pedrera et al. (2020) with sheared peridotite bodies embedded in Triassic sediments and is similar to what is generally expected from the pre-orogenic setting of the Betics corresponding to a former oblique and segmented system between the Central Atlantic and the Western Tethys (Leprêtre et al., 2018; Fernández et al., 2019; Angrand et al., 2020; Pedrera et al., 2020).

4.2 New $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar data in the peridotite-Nieves contact, significance of the ca. 20 Ma event

The observations summarized above lead us to discuss the significance of the ca. 20 Ma cluster of ages retrieved from all Alpujarride units. It is sometimes interpreted as an intense thermal event that would have reset all isotopic systems, but the finding of this very same age also in units of the central and eastern Alpujarride Complex that did not see any high temperature excursion during the exhumation (Bessière, 2019, see below) however suggests that it may rather correspond to an exhumation age (cooling) than dating the peak of pressure.

Further west in the western Alpujarride Complex, these young ages are associated with rocks that have gone through HT-LP facies, some of them partially molten. Granitic dykes and migmatite leucosomes yield the same age as well (U/Pb on zircons). Platt and Whitehouse (1999) dated zircons from three samples along a transect from the western to the eastern Alpujarride Complex (Sierra Cabrera) taken from a high-P garnet granulite, a cordierite granite, and a sillimanite K-feldspar gneiss and they all give ages close to 20 Ma. Based on thermal modelling, the authors conclude that the whole Alpujarride Complex has been through a high-temperature event with a thermal peak around 27 Ma and a subsequent exhumation, 20 Ma recording the passage above some 16 km.

As recalled above, the age of partial melting have been recently challenged by several authors based on U/Pb ages on zircons obtained in the Betics and the Rif in the Alpujarride-Selebide Complex near the contact with the peridotite massifs (Zeck and Williams, 2001; Massonne, 2014; Acosta-Vigil et al., 2014; Barich, 2016; Sánchez-Navas et al., 2017; Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019; Rossetti et al., 2020). If early Miocene ages are indeed found, many zircons yield late Paleozoic ages.

In order to obtain new age data on the age of the H7 event in the Nieves Unit at the contact with the peridotite, we selected marbles containing metamorphic micas (phlogopite) and dated them with the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar step-heating technique. Phlogopite is part of a single fresh H7 paragenesis generally including calcite-dolomite + olivine + phlogopite + amphibole + magnetite and the samples escaped from any pervasive late low-temperature deformation and retrogression (Mazzoli et al., 2013; Bessière, 2019). The result in unequivocal, all samples yield homogeneous Ar release spectra at around 20 Ma (Fig. 13), which is, within errors, very similar to most ages obtained in this region whatever the method used.

One additional important point to consider is the absence of any metamorphic recrystallization in the Nieves limestones at less than 3 km away from the contact with the peridotite, showing that the Nieves Unit has never been subducted to any significant depth (Bessière, 2019), in striking contrast with the Alpujarride units further east, where HP-LT metamorphism is documented (Goffé et al., 1989; Azañón and Goffé, 1997; Booth-Rea et al., 2005). The maximum pressure indicated by the H7 parageneses in the marbles is 3 kbar (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013), and the maximum burial is thus around 10 km assuming an average density for the continental crust. This shallow depth leads Mazzoli et al. (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013) to propose the intracrustal emplacement of the hot peridotite in contact with the underthrust Nieves Unit at less than 10 km for a temperature of about 700°C. This evidence also leads Gueydan et al. (2019) to propose a model of obduction of the continental margin of the Alboran back-arc basin on the Iberian passive margin that would be represented by the Nieves Unit or the Guadaiza Unit. It should be noted here that a
Fig. 11. Synthesis of the work of Bessière (2019) in the vicinity of the Ronda peridotite massif and its contact with the Nieves (Dorsale Calcaire) unit. A: General map of the Los Reales peridotite, Jubrique unit and Nieves unit. Isograds and characteristic temperatures are shown. Petrological and deformation domains in the peridotites are indicated in grey after Lenoir et al. (2001). Pl-t: plagioclase-tectonite, granular-sp-p: granular spinel peridotite, spinel-t: spinel tectonites, grt-sp mylonites: garnet-spinel mylonites. B: map of the triple junction between the peridotite, Jubrique unit and Nieves unit with stretching lineations and peak temperatures (RSCM method). C: 3D view of the same region draped on a Google Earth image showing the complexity of the geometry of the contact between the peridotite, Guadaiza unit and Jubrique unit. D: comparison of two end-member cross-sections with Guadaiza unit either underneath (Lundeen, 1978) or on top of the peridotite (Sanz de Galdeano, 2017). In the upper section Robledal and Jubrique units form a single entity while Guadaiza unit is a separate unit; in the lower section, Guadaiza, Robledal and Jubrique units are parts of a single unit. Caution: note that the western contact of the peridotite is decorated by indications of a detachment, which is our final interpretation at the time of formation of the Nieves-Los-Reales Shear Zone but the present-day position of the peridotite-Nieves contact is a steep fault putting the peridotite on top of Nieves Unit.
temperature of 700°C at less than 10km depth in an accretionary wedge or a subduction complex is twice as shallow as the low-temperature (500–600°C) metamorphic sole below the Semail ophiolite in Oman (Agard et al., 2016; Soret et al., 2017). The back-arc setting of the obducted crust and the young age of the back-arc basin in the Alboran Domain may partly explain this exceptionally hot situation, but the Oman ophiolite was also young when it was obducted.

One important question is the significance of the dynamothermal aureole compared to the HT metamorphism in the Nieves unit near the contact with the peridotite. The “obduction” (Gueydan et al., 2019) and the “subduction channel” (Mazzoli and Martin-Algarra, 2011; Mazzoli et al., 2013) models implicitly assume that the basement units and the Nieves metasediments were both metamorphosed at the contact with the hot peridotite during its exhumation. However, the original paleogeographic situations before thrusting of the Nieves and Blanca Units are strikingly different, even more with the rest of the Alpujárride units. The Nieves Unit (Dorsale Calcaire) is sometimes considered as the former cover of the Malaguide Complex (Dürr, 1963; Martin-Algarra et al., 2004; Michael et al., 2002) or of the Sebitide Complex (Chalouan and Michard, 2004). In the hyper-extension interpretation of Bessière (2019) (Fig. 12) it is considered the original cover of the Jubrique basement. The most important difference is moreover the much higher metamorphic pressure in Blanca Unit and Ojén eclogites compared to Nieves Unit. As mentioned above, the Nieves metasediments never experienced any pressure higher than 3 kbar and the temperature at 1.5 km of the contact with the peridotite decreases very fast to less than 350°C. The Triassic metacarbonates at the top of Jubrique unit and the Nieves metacarbonates have never seen significant HP-LT conditions. The Ojén eclogite has instead recorded a pressure around 15–16 kbar, which suggests a depth of ~45 km during subduction for a temperature of about 730°C (Tubía and Gil Ibarguchi, 1991). The Nieves-Jubrique Triassic metasediments must have then been subducted much less than the Ojén eclogite. Available ages for the HT-LP metamorphism in the Ojén eclogite are around 20 Ma, including zircons dated with the U/Pb method (Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000). These ages do not however necessarily correspond to the peak of metamorphism. Kohn et al. (2015), based on thermodynamic calculations, show on which part of $P$-$T$ paths zircons crystallize or dissolve and they conclude that, in most situations, the crystallization of zircons does not correspond to the metamorphic peak, rather to exhumation (Fig. 14B). If one then considers that the dated zircons formed during the retrograde part of the $P$-$T$ path, especially in this case where the peak of temperature does not coincide with the peak of

![Fig. 12. Hypothetical reconstruction of the situation of the Los Reales-Nieves detachment at the time of extension and mantle exhumation after Bessière (2019).](image-url)
pressure, the age of the peak pressure is unknown but it has to be necessarily older than 20 Ma.

The interpretation of the Nieves-Los Reales shear zone as an extensional detachment exhuming the Ronda peridotite in a context of hyper-extension until shallow conditions and possibly until the sea bottom already in the Mesozoic requires a different interpretation of available ages. The absence of any HP-LT parageneses in the Nieves metasediments precludes any deep subduction of this unit. Two solutions can then be envisaged. The first solution is the one put forward by Gueydan et al. (2019) and Précigout et al. (2013) proposing the overthrusting of a just-exhumed sub-continental lithosphere mantle (still hot) in the back-arc region, and in consequence still maintaining high temperatures. This solution fits the hot
conditions at the base of the peridotite and the clustering of ages around 20 Ma. It does not however easily explain the presence of ophicalcite and magnetite ore bodies that form at low temperature in the presence of large amounts of water along the contact between the peridotite and the Nieves marbles. It would be possible only after overthrusting and cooling of the peridotite. Moreover, placing the H$_{TP}$-L$_{TP}$ metamorphism and coeval extensional deformation in the back-arc domain during the Oligocene and Miocene, as in Garrido et al. (2011), Précigout et al. (2013) or Gueydan et al. (2019), implies that the extensional deformation came after an episode of crustal thickening and that the H$_{TP}$-L$_{TP}$ metamorphism is a regional event. However, the section observed west of the Sierra Bermeja does not show any repetition of stratigraphy or crustal sequences. It instead shows the Mesozoic cover deposits, whose upper part is devoid of any significant metamorphism at a short distance from the contact, in direct contact with either the peridotite or the attenuated basement of Jubrique Unit. It thus shows that the extensional deformation did not affect a previously thickened crust and that the observed metamorphism cannot be a regional event, as also shown by the steep temperature gradient west of the contact with the peridotite. The exhumation of the hot peridotite up to close contact with the sedimentary cover has to be a direct consequence of extension. One additional problem with the Miocene extension model is the absence of deep Miocene syn-rift sediments on top of the peridotite. Although the comparison is not straightforward, one can compare the setting proposed by Précigout et al. (2013) with similar cases in the Mediterranean realm. The closest cases are the southern Tyrrhenian Sea or the Gulf of Lion where mantle has been exhumed during rifting (Jolivet et al., 2015; Prada et al., 2016). In both cases the exhumed mantle is covered with early Miocene or Pliocene syn-rift sediments deposited in an open
marine environment, at significant depth, which are not described in the Betics above the peridotite.

The second solution is then to consider that the peridotite had been exhumed much earlier during the Mesozoic up to the surface or the sea bottom, as envisaged in van Hinsbergen et al. (2014) or Pedrera et al. (2020), thus explaining the progressive decrease of temperature along the contact and the formation of ophiolites. This exhumed peridotite together with the Jubrique Unit and its cover (Nieves unit) would then have been buried to middle crustal conditions during the Mesozoic by sedimentation above and then involved in the fast overthrusting event and exhumed at ∼20 Ma. The ca. 20 Ma ages we obtained from phlogopite in the marbles would reflect this late episode. This interpretation of a major exhumation episode at about 20 Ma can explain why it is recorded also during late deformation stages in tectonic units that have never seen any high-temperature overprint in the Central and Eastern Alpujarra Complex. Further studies should focus on this question to see whether this early exhumation model can fit observations within the peridotite such as the succession of petro-structural domains and the formation of the plagioclase tectonite and dynamothermal aureole. This interpretation also involves a minor pressure increase before exhumation at 20 Ma, which is not recorded in the available P-T paths of the Ronda peridotite and Jubrique Unit (Garrido et al., 2011; Balanyà et al., 1997).

4.3 Age of the HP-LT event in the Alpujarra and Nevado-Filábride Complexes

In order to improve the data set on the age of the HP-LT event in the Alpujarra Complex, Bessière (2019) has sampled the units where the Alpine HP-LT parageneses are best preserved, namely the Salobreña unit, for dating white micas with the step-heating 40Ar/39Ar method. Platt et al. (2005) have obtained one in situ 40Ar/39Ar Eocene age (∼48 Ma) in one sample from Sierra Alhamilla and a series of younger ages until the Miocene. They discuss the validity of older ages in their paper in terms of argon loss/excess and younger ages until the Miocene. They discuss the validity of older ages in their paper in terms of argon loss/excess and resetting of the K/Ar system by various processes such as deformation or fluid circulation. They also dated some Paleozoic protoliths from the Castro slice in the Alpujarra Complex (Sierra Alhamilla) and as a clear Variscan metamorphic inheritance is now proven in these Paleozoic sections (Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019), old ages may simply reflect isotopic inheritance of incompletely reset white micas. In order to reassess the timing of the HP-LT metamorphism in the Alpujarra Complex, Bessière (2019) sampled again the post-Paleozoic sediments to avoid any possible mélange ages (samples marked B in Fig. 8). The best preserved rocks still containing Fe-Mg carpholite are found at the western end of the Sierra Nevada, near Trevenque (Azañón and Goffé, 1997). Other samples were taken in different sites where the HP-LT parageneses were in different states of preservation (Fig. 8). Special attention was given to samples of different generations of micas clearly attributed to the HP-LT stage or the retrogression stage. In this regard, micas were dated from the bulk rock and from post-HP Veins. The micas growing at the expense of Fe-Mg-carpholite in the best preserved parageneses return quasi-plateaus around 38 Ma. Other samples yield either plateaus or staircase spectra climbing from 20 to 38 Ma. Micas from the veins give plateaus at 20 Ma showing that this age clearly records the crossing of the closure temperature of white micas along the exhumation path, during or after the formation of the veins and the crystalization of micas in those veins. This data sets shows that the HP-LT event in the Alpujarra Complex is at least 38 Ma old, confirming the ages obtained by Platt et al. (2005) and that the age around 20 Ma records a later deformation event at lower pressure, coeval with vein formation. Staircase spectra are found in samples that were partially reset during exhumation. This sampling strategy thus shows that (1) the 20 Ma event is indeed recorded in all Alpujarra units, even those not affected by any thermal overprint and (2) that the HP-LT episode is Eocene in age, at least 38 Ma old. This estimate is close to the 40 Ma age recently obtained on monazite with the microprobe U/Pb method from the Nevado-Filábride Complex (Li and Massonne, 2018) and are compatible with the 40Ar/39Ar ages obtained by Augier et al. (2005b) in the Nevado-Filábride Complex, suggesting that both the Alpujarra and the Nevado-Filábride Complexes experienced peak-pressure conditions during the Eocene. Consequently, the recent U/Pb zircon and the garnet/chlinoopyroxene Lu/Hf ages found in the Nevado-Filábride and interpreted as dating the peak of pressure in these units (López Sánchez-Vizcaino et al., 2001; Platt et al., 2006) would in that case represent a later event, coeval with some exhumation increments of the complex.

5 Synthesis and 3-D tectonic evolution

This review emphasizes a number of first-order questions and recent new results that we now attempt to integrate in a synthetic model of the 3D evolution of the Betics and the Rif. We now summarize the main observations in terms of geometry, paleogeography, P-T paths and timing.

5.1 Paleogeography

The classical division of the Alboran Domain in three main nappes, the Malaguides/Ghomarides, Alpujarra/Sebtides and Nevado-Filábride Complexes was mainly based on lithostratigraphic differences and abnormal stratigraphic superpositions (Egeler and Simon, 1969; Aldaya and García-Dueñas, 1976; Didon and García-Dueñas, 1976; García-Dueñas and Navarro-Vila, 1976; Simon et al., 1976; Torres-Roldán, 1979). The first-order paleogeographic characteristics of the main domains were recognized early but further important discoveries came later. The presence of HP-LT parageneses was initially restricted to the Nevado-Filábride Complex or to parts of the so-called Ballabona-Cucharon unit that was later divided between the Alpujarra and the Nevado-Filábride Complexes (Egeler, 1963; Nijhuis, 1964; Bakker et al., 1989; Weijsmans, 1991; De Jong, 1993). The finding of Fe-Mg-carpholite in the Alpujarra Complex and the contrasted evolutions of the various Alpujarra units were spotted only later (Goffé et al., 1989; Bovybaouene et al., 1995; Vidal et al., 1999; Azañón and Goffé, 1997). Then, the paleogeographic affinity of the oceanic protolith of the Bédar-Macael unit is radically different from that of the continental Calar Alto and Raguja units within
the Nevado-Filábride Complex. Although Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019) report close eclogite-facies peak metamorphic conditions in the three units, the lithological nature of Bédar Macael with marbles, metabasites and ultramafic rocks ascertains a different initial paleogeographic context, as already proposed by Puga et al. (1995, 1999, 2002) or Michard et al. (2002) (Fig. 15). The initial inclusion of the Bédar Macael unit within the Nevado-Filábride Complex is mainly the consequence of a significant difference in terms of metamorphic grade (eclogite-facies) compared to the overlying Alpujárride Complex where HP-LT metamorphism was not yet described. It also corresponds to the localization of the main extensional shear zones, with the Filabres shear zone between the Alpujárride and the Nevado-Filábride Complexes (Platt and Vissers, 1989; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Jabaloy et al., 1993; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002, 2004). The works of Augier et al. (2005b) and Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019) show that the whole Nevado-Filábride Complex went through a subduction history with similar P-T-time evolution. But the initial contact between Bédar-Macael and Calar Alto units has to be a thrust putting some oceanic material or better an ocean-continent transition on top of continental units belonging to the Iberian margin. If we consider the most recent reevaluation of peak P-T conditions in the Nevado-Filábride Complex by Santamaria-Lopez et al. (2019), the recorded P-T evolution of all three units is quite similar, suggesting that this superposition was an early event, before deep subduction. An alternative solution is that the three units were subducted sequentially and reached the same maximum pressure. So, assuming that the Bédar Macael unit was a partially oceanized domain or a continent-ocean transition zone with metabasites, marbles and continental rocks between the passive margin of the Alboran block and the Iberian margin (Puga et al., 1995, 1999, 2002; Michard et al., 2002) one should then clearly differentiate at least four domains: (1) the Malaguide-Ghomaride, (2) the Alpujárride-Sebtide, (3) the Bédar-Macael and (4) the Calar Alto/Ragua domains.

Within the Alpujárride-Sebtide Complex, the significance of the Ronda and Beni Bousera peridotite massifs should also be discussed, but there is less certainty concerning the paleogeographic position of these exhumed mantle units. As discussed above, two main interpretations have been proposed for extensional deformation responsible for mantle exhumation. The first interpretation is that the subcontinental mantle was mainly exhumed from large depths by extension within the back-arc domain during the Oligocene (Garrido et al., 2011;
Précigout et al., 2013; Frasca et al., 2016; Gueydan et al., 2019) and soon later obducted on the margin of Iberia, together with the associated lower crustal units. The second interpretation is that the mantle was exhumed up to upper crustal conditions much earlier in the Mesozoic or even the late Paleozoic (Kornprobst and Vielzeuf, 1984; Michard et al., 1991; Sánchez-Rodriguez and Gebauer, 2000; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014; Bessière, 2019; Rossetti et al., 2020; Pedrera et al., 2020). In the latter situation, the region where the mantle has been exhumed would be a part of the wide hyper-extended domain between Africa and Iberia, or between a proto-Alboran block and Iberia. Recent studies in the Rif have shown the presence of small basins with exhumed mantle and minor mafic magmatism (Michard et al., 2014; Leprêtre et al., 2018; Gimeno-Vives et al., 2019). These hyper-extended basins would belong to the suite of Mesozoic rift basins around or within Iberia, from the Pyrenees, the Cantabrian range, the Galicia passive margin, the Columbretes basin, and the Maestraz and Cameros basins (Boilot et al., 1988; Roca and Guimerà, 1992; Salas and Casas, 1993; Salas et al., 2001; Lagabrielle and Bodinier, 2008; Etheve et al., 2018; Asti et al., 2019; Gimeno-Vives et al., 2019; Rat et al., 2019). In this context the Bédar-Macael Unit could also belong to the same group of basins.

The first interpretation fits well the high-temperature associated with the late emplacement if the Early Miocene age really represents the age of emplacement and thermal peak in the dynamothermal aureole. The second interpretation better explains the lack of significant metamorphism in the Nieves Mesozoic sediments at a short distance from the contact with the peridotite and the observation of ophicalcites along the contact. This second interpretation requires that the Miocene clustering of radiometric ages mainly represents an exhumation event and not the peak of temperature.

5.2 Timing of tectonic and metamorphic events

Before moving to reconstructions, we need a further discussion of the significance of radiometric ages and of the tectonic timing of the Betic-Rif Cordillera.

5.2.1 Age and P-T conditions of the HP-LT event

As discussed above, recent observations suggest that the subduction zone metamorphism in both the Alpujárride and Nevada-Filábride Complexes was reached in the Eocene. Consistent ages around 40 Ma have been obtained with complementary methods with $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ on white micas in the best preserved HP-LT parageneses of the Alpujárride Complex (Bessière, 2019) and with microprobe U-Th-Pb on monazite dating in the eclogite of the Nevada-Filábride Complex (Li and Massonne, 2018). This observation is well in line with the very similar P-T gradient in the two complexes. Figure 14A shows the compilation of published peak pressure conditions in the Alpujárride Complex (excluding basement lithologies) and the Nevada-Filábride Complex. All data cluster along the same $10^\circ$C/km gradient, the Nevada-Filábride Complex only reaching higher pressure than the Alpujárride Complex. This fits the simple model of a steady-state accretory wedge or subduction channel, the best example being the Western Alps where all tectonic units recording peaks of metamorphism with different ages are aligned on a single straight line indicating a gradient of $-8^\circ$C/km. This suggests that the same geodynamic context of a subduction channel has been maintained during the whole history of the Internal Zones (Jolivet et al., 2003; Agard et al., 2009). One additional argument against a middle Miocene subduction of the Nevada-Filábride Complex is the complete absence of any Oligocene or Miocene flysch-type sediments between the Nevada-Filábride nappes and the overlying Alpujárride Complex. Had the Nevada-Filábride Complex been subducted in the Middle Miocene, flysch or molasse-type sediments would have been deposited in the trench or foreland basin, like can easily be observed in the Alps below the major thrust contacts for instance.

The main difference among the different P-T paths obtained in the Alpujárride and Sebtide Complexes is observed for the retrograde evolution, with LP-HT conditions reaching anatexy in the Western Alpujárride Complex compared with the cold retrograde paths of the central and eastern Alpujárride Complex or the Beni Mzala unit in the Sebtide Complex. Compared with other back-arc contexts such as the Aegean or Tyrrenhian regions (Jolivet et al., 1998; Jolivet and Brun, 2010) this difference suggests that the units containing the best preserved HP-LT parageneses with Fe-Mg-carpholite have been exhumed earlier than the “hot” units and have thus escaped from the late HT event. The same difference can be observed in the Aegean between the blueschists and eclogites of Syros and Sifnos islands on the one hand and the migmatic of Paros and Naxos islands, on the other hand (Jolivet and Brun, 2010). The better preservation of HP-LT parageneses in the central and eastern Alpujárride Complex indeed suggests that these tectonic units were exhumed earlier than the western Alpujárride Complex. Very little information is known on the age of peak pressure in the west. It must be older than the 27 Ma proposed for the beginning of extension in the Alboran basin (Platt et al., 1998; Platt and Whitehouse, 1999). This fits the ca. 34 Ma age proposed by Massonne (2014). Apart from a 40 Ma old K-Ar ages on amphibole and plagioclase (Michard et al., 2006) (Fig. 10) from the Beni Mzala antiform, no Eocene ages are as yet available in the western part of the Rif. They were then extracted from the subduction channel soon enough to be protected from the HT evolution coeval with fast slab retreat after 20 Ma. The geometrical relations between the central and western Alpujárride are unknown, as the contact is hidden below the Malaguide Complex, but this discussion suggests that the Western Alpujárride units were extracted from below the Central Alpujárride units during extension after 27 Ma. They were initially below the central Alpujárride Complex because they were subducted later also. The age of HP-LT metamorphism in Jubrique unit proposed around 34 Ma by Massonne (2014) is compatible with this hypothesis but the error bar on this age is still quite large. Further exploring this hypothesis would require a precise reconstruction of the geometry before the Miocene low-angle normal faulting that dissected the Alpujárride nappe stack and further dating campaigns.

5.2.2 Significance of the ca. 20Ma event, regional exhumation versus thermal pulse

In the hypothesis of a common peak of pressure in the Nevada-Filábride and Alpujárride Complexes during the Eocene as proposed above, the significance of the younger
Fig. 16. Reconstructions along an E-W profile of the evolution of the Betic Cordillera from 28 Ma to the Present. The two 28 Ma stages represent two contrasted interpretations of the initial position of the Ronda peridotites, as discussed in text, as a piece of subcontinental mantle exhumed either during the Mesozoic rifting (interpretation B) or during the Oligo-Miocene back-arc extension (interpretation A). The 24 Ma stage incorporates interpretation A. During the later evolution (20 Ma stage) the slab of detached peridotite overthrusts the rifted basement situated further west and drags a piece of this basement underneath. At 20 Ma the subducting slab is also delaminated, or detached, triggering an influx of hot asthenospheric mantle below the thick crust, making its exhumation easy and leading to an episode of HT-LP metamorphism and anatexy. The entire Betic-Rif internal zones are exhumed at this stage. During the westward migration of the Alboran domain the crust in the back-arc region thins, breaks and is replaced by the oceanic crust of the Algerian Basin.
ages, especially the cluster around 20 Ma, must be discussed further.

The young ages obtained in the Nevado-Filábride Complex with the U/Pb method on zircon have to be the record of the late evolution during the exhumation of the complex along the retrograde path, following the hypothesis of the crystallization of zircon along the retrograde path discussed in Section 4.3 (Kohn et al., 2015). Figure 14B shows some representative $P$-$T$ paths of the Alpujarride Complex and the $P$-$T$ paths studied by Kohn et al. (2015) in metapelites. These authors question the interpretation of U/Pb ages from zircons as the peak of pressure. They instead show that in most cases zircon growth corresponds to the retrograde path. One may then consider that the U/Pb ages obtained on zircons in the Nevado-Filábride Complex (López Sánchez-Vizcaino et al., 2001) do not correspond to the peak of pressure but to the later exhumation of these units. Similarly the middle Miocene Lu/Hf ages garnet-clinopyroxene obtained by Platt et al. (2006) could correspond to late exhumation ages owing to the small size of garnet grains (Scherer et al., 2000).

As seen above, the Early Miocene record is observed in rocks that have followed a path going through high-temperature conditions and rocks that have never seen any reheating nor followed any course through the amphibolite-facies or even less in the temperature conditions and rocks that have never seen any rocks that have followed a path going through high-garnet grains (Scherer et al., 2000) correspond to late exhumation ages owing to the small size of garnet grains (Scherer et al., 2000).

The young ages obtained in the Beni Bousera peridotite was exhumed through the 800 °C recorded by Pearson and Nowell (2004) suggests that part of not all the partial melting in the Jubrique Unit must be Permian in age. The same conclusion is reached by Rossetti et al. (2020) for the anatectic granulites forming the inner envelope of the Beni Bousera peridotite. The Miocene ages obtained on zircons or monazites in the same regions thus correspond to a second melting event or to a late exhumation (see also Rossetti et al., 2010). Jurassic and Early Cretaceous ages are found in the Jubrique Unit on zircon rims (Barich, 2016) and in the peridotite on pyroxenites (Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000) suggesting that some exhumation either of the mantle or the lower crustal rocks also occurred at that time.

Some partial melting must have however occurred in the early Miocene as shown by the ages of zircons from late granitic dykes crossing the peridotites and their host rocks in both the Betics and the Rif (Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000; Esteban et al., 2011; Rossetti et al., 2010, 2013). These ages suggest that, at least, parts of the crust were molten underneath the peridotite in the early Miocene like in the offshore domain of the Alboran Domain (Platt et al., 1998; Soto and Platt, 1999).

The timing of exhumation of the sub-continental mantle peridotite can be further discussed in this context and several options are possible with the existing data set. The intensity of early thinning is attested by the very thin layer of peridotite between the paleo-Moho (contact with Jubrique Unit) and the LAB (recrystallization front), the continuous structures from the granular domain to the Grt/Spl mylonite and Jubrique Unit, and coeval deformation and partial melting in the peridotite suggesting hyper-extension of a continental lithosphere. Ages of zircons in aluminous pyroxenites suggest that a part of this extension occurred over Mesozoic times, from ~180 Ma to ~130 Ma (Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000), reflecting the rifting of the Alpine Tethys. Furthermore, the preservation of the granular domain suggests that this extension has been aborted at one point, promoting fast thermal rebalancing of the lithosphere. Yet, it is not clear how much of this extension was achieved during the late Paleozoic rifting episode. A part of the data set suggests that a significant part of the exhumation is related to a late Paleozoic extension. The strong thinning and the anatexy in high-temperature gneiss just above the peridotite in Beni Bousera and Ronda in the Jubrique unit are associated with late Paleozoic ages, suggesting that a first stage of exhumation was coeval with the post-orogenic evolution of the Variscan belt (Gómez-Pugnaire et al., 2019;
Rossetti et al., 2020). However, most ages in zircons of aluminous pyroxenite layers in the Ronda peridotite indicate either Jurassic or Miocene times, which does not seem to support a significant phase of crustal thinning and related mafic intrusion during post-orogenic collapse of the Hercynian belt. Further investigations should then concentrate also on this question: why is the late Paleozoic crustal thinning recorded in crustal units not shown by the peridotite bodies?

Indeed, when the early rift aborted, the peridotites of the granular domain were still in the spinel stability field, which means that at least 30 km of rocks were above the recrystallization front at that time. The pressure of the recrystallization front has been estimated to 15 kbar/45 km (Garrido et al., 2011). The maximum thickness of the peridotite above the recrystallization front is about 10 km, which leaves about 20 km for the continental crust, including the basement and the sediments. The lid of peridotite above the recrystallization front at present is not thick, 10 km is a maximum. This situation results from intense thinning during the whole exhumation process. A shown in Figure 12, the geometry and kinematics of a mantle core complex can account for this present-day situation. The exhumation of the mantle below a downward-concave normal fault progressively thins the crust and the lherzolite mantle reducing the distance between the different domains of the peridotite, once further apart. It is thus conceivable that the peridotite has been largely exhumed already during the Paleozoic and then the Mesozoic.

The plagioclase tectonite (Obata, 1980; Garrido et al., 2011) requires a subsequent deformation event, which also occurred during decompression into the plagioclase stability field with heating from below. The age of this event can also be debated, but it necessarily post-dates the “quenching” of the granular peridotite, and hence, it post-dates the deformation in the Spl-tectonite and Grt/Spl-mylonite (van der Wal and Vissers, 1996). One can first assume that it corresponds to a much more recent extensional event, which would go back to the Oligocene or early Miocene (Préciogout et al., 2013; Frasca et al., 2017; Gueydan et al., 2019). This event produced the plagioclase tectonite with a temperature high enough to promote viscous flow of mantle rocks in the deep sequence. It also promoted deeper partial melting, as deduced by the injections of podiform chromitites. Above the plagioclase tectonite, the temperature was lower and the peridotites were not able to deform viscously. This may account for the absence of Pl-related deformation above the granular peridotite, but the temperature was still high enough to promote the breakdown of garnets that partially turned into kelyphite (statically). The ultramylonites could also serve as a benchmark to locate the isotherm 600–700 °C within the temperature gradient (rift geotherm; Préciogout et al., 2017). Following the transition to the east between the spinel-bearing peridotites and plagioclase-bearing peridotite, one ends up to the contact with the Nieves Unit where the temperature has been estimated around 600 °C (Mazzoli et al., 2013; Bessière, 2019). As supported by the metamorphic ages of 20 Ma found in marbles of the Nieves Unit, this last extensional event may have occurred during back-arc extension of the Alboran Domain over Oligocene-Miocene times (Préciogout et al., 2013; Frasca et al., 2017; Gueydan et al., 2019). Nevertheless, one weak point of this interpretation is however the lack of evidence for crustal thickening before this extensional event. Extension in the back-arc domain behind the westward migrating accretionary wedge supposes that the back-arc extension is set upon the internal parts of the accretionary wedge (Jolivet et al., 1994, 2003; Brun and Faccenna, 2008). The section observed between the exhumed peridotite and the Nieves carbonate does not show any evidence for Alpine nappe stacking, which suggests that extension was set on a lithosphere that was subducted but not thickened, and the back-arc context thus cannot be ascertained. This leaves open the possibility that the deformation observed in the plagioclase-tectonite is related to the Mesozoic exhumation episode also.

Despite remaining uncertainties on the timing of mantle exhumation in the Western Alpujárride-Sebtide Complex, five main tectonic/geodynamic episodes can be proposed for the evolution of the Betic-Rif Cordillera. This timing is illustrated by Figure 14C showing the P-T paths of the Alpujárride-Sebtide and Nevada-Filábride Complexes decorated with color representing ages:

- the first episode dates back to the Permian around ca. 290–280 Ma. It is characterized by partial melting in basement units in contact with the peridotite masses, but also in the basement of the central Alpujárride Complex. It is widely interpreted as the result of the collapse and extension of the Variscan belt with a first episode of exhumation of the mantle peridotite;
- the second episode is derived from Jurassic (180 Ma) and Early Cretaceous (130 Ma) ages in the peridotite and in the gneiss of Jubrique unit. The dated pyroxenite dykes in the peridotite suggest that melt extraction and a second episode of rifting and mantle exhumation occurred in the Mesozoic with some decompression melting of the exhumed mantle. This rifting episode is well established all around the Iberian plate (Asti et al., 2019; Pedrera et al., 2020). We thus assume here that both the peridotite and Jubrique unit were from then on the same vertical and moved as a coherent block afterward, the Nieves-Los Reales detachment being frozen;
- the third episode relates to the Alpine orogeny with the subduction of the Alpujárride and Nevada-Filábride Complexes in the Eocene, following our reinterpretation of the radiometric ages in both complexes. It is recorded with cold HP-LT conditions in the central and eastern Alpujárride Complex and warmer conditions in the western Alpujárride Complex. It reaches the conditions of the eclogite-facies in the Bédar-Macael unit of the Nevada-Filábride. It ends at about 38 Ma in the central and eastern Alpujárride and later, until at least 34 Ma in the western Alpujárride Complex. The exact timing of subduction of the Nevada-Filábride Complex and the Alpujárride Complex cannot be further precised with available data. The higher pressure in the Nevada-Filábride Complex shows that this complex has subducted deeper than the Alpujárride Complex. It has then been exhumed up to low-pressure conditions below the Filabres Detachment, but no data constrain the deformation history between the peak of pressure and the late exhumation. One may assume that the top-to-the north shearing recorded in the Alpujárride Complex also played a role in the exhumation of the Nevada-Filábride Complex, but this is not constrained. This is however the option we have chosen for drawing
Figure 15 showing the progressive subduction of the Alboran domain and its progressive exhumation along a NW-SE cross-section before 20 Ma. Assuming full exhumation of the peridotites during Jurassic rifting, one can suppose that the peridotite and the Nieves Unit were subducted to shallow depths at this period, deep enough to reset the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar system in micas but shallow enough to keep the upper part of the Nieves Mesozoic sediments at low temperature and pressure and thus poorly metamorphosed;

– the fourth episode is the transition from subduction and crustal thickening to back-arc extension around 28–30 Ma. Well ascertained in the whole Mediterranean realm, it is attested in metamorphic rocks of the Alboran Domain by the reworking of the Alpujárride nappe stack during the Miocene by low-angle detachments and by the high-temperature evolution of some of the basement units. The 27 Ma age of Platt et al. (1998) or the initiation of extension due to convective removal of the lithospheric root is in line with this timing. A late part of mantle exhumation could have been achieved at this stage in the back-arc region if it had not been completed during the Mesozoic. This question remains to be studied;

– the fifth episode corresponds to the clustering of ages around 20 Ma. These ages are ubiquitous in the Alpujárride Complex and correspond to a sudden episode of exhumation and cooling associated with the overthrusting of the whole Alboran Domain onto the Iberian margin. This fast regional exhumation is associated with the overthrusting of the peridotite and associated crustal units on top of the Iberian margin and with the intrusion of granitic dykes in the peridotite and the host rocks suggesting that some partial melting was affecting the crust underneath. Final cooling and exhumation is now quite well documented in the middle Miocene by U/Pb ages on allanite (Santamaria-Lopez et al., 2019) and L7 thermochronology (fission-track) (e.g. Johnson et al., 1997). Finally, the main observations pleading for a high-temperature event at around 20 Ma, until 18 Ma, is the intrusion of leucocratic dykes in the peridotite and country rocks suggesting partial melting of the crust underneath. We thus have at this crucial period the coexistence of partial melting in the base of the crust of the Alpujárride Complex and the overthrusting (obduction in Guéydan et al., 2019) of the subcontinental mantle on top of the Iberian and North African margins.

5.4 Conceptual model for the Early Miocene event

Keeping in mind this debate on the timing and context of exhumation of the peridotite massifs, we now discuss a simple conceptual model for the 20 Ma event. In the early Miocene, the whole Internal domain is exhumed fast and overthrusted the Iberian and North African margins. The recognition of partially molten crust underneath the peridotite at or slightly after 20 Ma shows that the peridotite had already been thrust upon some continental crust in the meantime (between 28 and 20 Ma). At around 20 Ma, a slab tear event occurred and the slab delaminated and started its westward retreat (Maury et al., 2000; Jolivet et al., 2006). One can then suppose that this delamination led to a sudden heating event in the lower crust underneath the peridotite, which drastically lowered the resistance of the crust and allowed the decoupling between the peridotite and the remaining subducting lithosphere. The peridotite and its host rocks were then exhumed fast within the subduction channel and were carried by thrusts on top of the Iberian margin. This sudden exhumation froze all the isotopic systems in the whole Internal zones. Note nevertheless that this model assumes that the deformation stages recorded in the Ronda peridotite are not related to the Miocene back-arc extension, but only to previous extensional events (Jurassic rifting and/or late Paleozoic post-orogenic collapse), which faces several other problems as mentioned above.

5.5 The main tectonometamorphic steps, reconstructions, paleogeographic maps and cross-sections

Based on the above review we now propose a progressive evolution of the Alboran region with cross-sections (Figs. 15 and 16) and maps (Fig. 17). In this protracted evolution, the debate about the age and context of exhumation of the Ronda and Beni Bousera peridotite massifs has not reached a consensus yet and more studies are needed to unravel this problem. Figure 16 thus shows the two solutions on the 28 Ma stage, either an early exhumation during the Tethyan rifting episode or a later exhumation during the Oligo-Miocene back-extension in the Alboran Sea. If one considers that the exhumation of the peridotite cannot be achieved within the back-arc domain, as discussed above, this exhumation must have taken place earlier within the Tethyan rift during the Mesozoic (case A on Fig. 16). If instead it has taken place within the back-arc domain (case B) it occurred during the Oligocene.

The maps are constructed from the detailed kinematic reconstructions of Romagny et al. (2020). The cross-sections show the evolution at lithospheric scale of an E-W transect, thus focusing on the post-20 Ma evolution, after the main kinematic change in the Internal Zones and the fast exhumation event. The first step at ∼145 Ma in the maps is inspired from the work of Leprêtre et al. (2018) and the pre-20 Ma is partly inspired by Michard et al. (2002) and Chalouan and Michard (2004). The successive steps in the tectonometamorphic evolution of the Alpujárride and Nevado-Filábride Complexes can be summarized as follows:

– in the late Paleozoic, in the aftermath of the Variscan orogeny, a large part of Western Europe is subjected to crustal collapse and formation of a wide rift system (Soto et al., 2017). Rifting in the Alboran Domain was associated with high-temperature metamorphism and partial melting in the lower crust, as discussed above. This event is recorded in the basement of the Alpujárride units and in the lower crust at the contact with the Beni Bousera and Ronda peridotites;

– in the Mesozoic, extension leads to rifting of the NeoTethys Ocean (Figs. 15 and 17). The various Triassic sequences (Alpine versus Germanic) are deposited in an extensional context. Locally, hyper-extension leads to the exhumation of sub-continental mantle. Depending on the chosen hypothesis, the Ronda peridotite may have been fully or partially exhumed by the Nieves-Los Reales detachment at
Fig. 17. Reconstructions in map view of the evolution of the Western Mediterranean, modified after Leprêtre et al. (2018) and Romagny et al. (2020). The yellow domain represents the Alboran Domain progressively deforming and migrating through time. At the surface it is mainly the Malaguide Complex and at depth the Alpujárride-Sebtiide Complex. It progressively incorporates units by tectonic accretion at the expense of the rifted domain or partly oceanized Betic Ocean. The lower right panel shows the basic principle of this complex 3-D evolution where a non-cylindrical rift partly evolved into oceanic domains is progressively incorporated into the accretionary wedge during slab retreat leading to the variations in P-T evolution from east to west in the Betic Cordillera. Before 20 Ma shortening is mostly N-S or NW-SE and the kinematics of exhumation is also along the same direction. After 20 Ma the main kinematics is E-W with a migration of the Alboran domain and retreat of the slab toward the west. Nieves-Jubr: Nieves Unit and Jubrique Unit, Ronda-BB: Ronda and Beni Bousera peridotites, Apjl,Carr: Sierra Alpujata and Sierra de Carratraca peridotites, NF without BM: Nevado-Filábride without Bédar-Macael unit, BM: Bédar-Macael Unit.
this period. The paleogeography of the Triassic formations in the Alpujárride Complex, the Bédar-Macael domain and the Calar Alto/Ragua units in the Nevada-Filábride Complex shows a series of NE-SW striking zones of rifted continental blocks within the future margins of the Betic Ocean and Maghrebian Tethys oceanic domain. The Bédar-Macael unit and the Ronda/Beni Bousera peridottite represent domains of hyper-extension or ocean-continent transition. In the Jurassic and until the Early Cretaceous, extension continues all around the Iberian plate and also in the Peri-Alpine domain. The Alpine Tethys rifiting event takes place in the Early Jurassic, but rift basins with evidence of hyper-extension are recognized until the Early Cretaceous in the Pyrenees. The exhumation of the Los Reales peridotite may have taken place at this period as well. The domain where these hyper-extended basins form is wide, from the Pyrenees in the north to the Rif in the south. This evolution leads to the formation of a wide zone of juxtaposed rifts and hyper-extended domains within the narrow zone between Africa and Iberia. Two oceanic or partly oceanic domains are present, the Maghrebian Tethys to the south of the AlKaPeca block, and the Betic Ocean to the north. The future Calar-Alto and Ragua Nappes of the Nevada-Filábride Complex belongs to the passive margin basement of Iberia. The Bédar-Macael nappe belongs either to the distal passive margin and continent-ocean transition or to the Betic Ocean. The Malaguide-Ghomaride Complex makes the center of the AlKaPeca block at this period and the Alpujárride and Sebtide Complex are its passive margins. Note that there is no major difference in the Alpujárride and Sebtide lithostratigraphy, so that the exact attribution of individual units to either margins of AlKaPeca is highly speculative. Moreover, the post-20 Ma westward drift of the Alboran domain above the retreating slab and the associated clockwise and counterclockwise rotations on the either make this exercise even more speculative;

- in the Late Cretaceous, convergence takes over between Africa and Eurasia and the first compressional deformations are observed in the Pyrenees and probably also along the southern margin of Iberia;

- in the Eocene, the passive margin of Iberia and the margin of the Alboran block, together with the hyper-extended domains are subducted to the depth of the blueschists and eclogite facies (down to ~60 km) underneath the Malaguide-Ghomarides Complex that are not affected by the HP-LT nor later HT-LP metamorphism (Fig. 15). Starting from the Mesozoic rifted geometry, convergence is accommodated by the northward subduction of the Maghrebian Tethys in the south and the southward subduction of the Betic Ocean in the north. This cross-section is intended to fit the evolution of the Betics and Rif along a transect of the Central Alpujárride Complex. The geometry is compatible with the model of Chalouan and Michard (2004) and the reconstructions of Romagny et al. (2020). The northern subduction swallows the Betic Ocean and its margins, including the Alpujárride and the Nevada-Filábride Complexes. The Sebtide Complex may originate from the southern margin of the AlKaPeCa block, but the 3-D complexity of the post-Eocene evolution is such that it could also be part of the northern margin. The subduction of the Betic Ocean and its margins leads to the formation of an accretionary wedge in HP-LT conditions and the top-to-the north overthrusting of the Alpujárride Complex on top of the Bédar-Macael unit and the latter unit on top of the Calar Alto unit. Some of these units are exhumed soon after the peak of metamorphism below the Malaguide-Alpujárride Contact (MAC) detachment with N-S extension and top-to-the north kinematics, ensuring a relative preservation of the HP-LT parageneses in the Salobreña, Escalate and Beni-Mzala Units. This subduction progressively incorporates both Alpujárride-Sebtide and Nevada-Filábride Complexes subducting below the Malaguide-Ghomaride Complex (Fig. 15). While the main subduction is toward the north (Africa under Iberia and Eurasia), the Betic-Rif orogen corresponds at this longitude to the subduction of the Betic Ocean and the margins of Iberia and AlKaPeCa underneath the Malaguide. This backthrust subduction is situated above the northward subduction of Africa of the Maghrebian Tethys, a true oceanic domain. In this context, the \( P/T \) gradient is cold, typical of subduction environments. From east to west, the nature of the subducting lithosphere changes from a true oceanic lithosphere in the Maghrebian Tethys and its passive margin toward a more continental domain with ribbons of exhumed mantle (Figs. 16 and 17);

- the future western Alpujárride units are probably the last to enter the subduction zone as the few information on the age of the peak pressure suggest that it was reached later that in the Eastern Alpujárride Complex. They were thus not exhumed before the inception of slab retreat some 30 Ma ago (Fig. 16) but reheated within the back-arc domain before their exhumation. Also, the subduction here does not involve any true oceanic lithosphere, at variance with the earlier period, but only thinned continental crust and mantle pieces. This may also contribute to warmer \( P/T \) gradients during subduction. This is the period of intense extension in the whole Alpujárride domain and the Nieves-Los Reales Detachment could have also partly been formed or reactivated at this period, finally exhuming the sub-continental mantle of the Ronda peridotite. The transition to slab retreat and back-arc extension leads to an increase of the heat flow underneath the orogen and the reworking of those HP-LT units that had not yet been exhumed in HT-LP metamorphic facies during the Oligocene;

- at about 20 Ma, after the docking of the AlKaPeCa units with the northern margin of Africa, the African slab is broken in two parts and the western half starts a fast westward retreat (Figs. 16 and 17), inducing the westward motion of the arc and back-arc with fast extension and subsidence, forming the West Alboran Basin and large west-dipping detachments such as the Filabres Shear Zone that exhumes the Nevada-Filábride Complex. The beginning of this episode is marked by a sudden change in the kinematics of extension from to-top-to-the north to top-to-the west and the overthrusting of the Alboran Domain (“obduction of the margin” in the sense of Gueydan et al., 2019) situated in the westernmost part of the back-arc domain onto the margin of Iberia. Slab retreat is associated with a delamination of the subducting lithospheric mantle and the coeval ingestion of asthenospheric material induces partial melting of the continental crust
subducted below the peridotite. This change in the geodynamic setting leads to the decoupling of subducted material and the fast exhumation of the subduction wedge together with the whole orogen during its westward overthrusting on top of the margin of southern Iberia, freezing radiometric systems at around 20 Ma. The exhumation of the Nevada-Filábride Complex continues until the middle and early late Miocene accompanied with the formation of series of intramountain extensional basins;
– this situation lasts until about 8 Ma when slab retreat stops and E-W extension is replaced by N-S shortening. The Miocene basins are partly inverted and the metamorphic domes are amplified, now forming the highest relief of the Betic Cordillera and NE-SW strike slip faults are formed and also accommodate this compression.

6 Conclusions

This review has permitted some progress toward the answer to three main questions:
– the timing of the HP-LT event in the Alpujárride and Nevado-Filábride MCC and thus the ages of the subduction episodes affecting the Alboran domain can be attributed to the Eocene. The case is clear for the central and eastern Alpujárride Complex with new $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages. The case of the Nevada-Filábride Complex can still be debated, but a series of evidence also lead us to assign it to the Eocene, coeval, in a broad sense, with the subduction of the central and eastern Alpujárride Complex;
– the mechanisms of emplacement of the sub-continental peridotite massifs of both the Rif and the Betics involves hyper-extension either during the late Paleozoic, the Mesozoic or the Miocene. The contact between the Ronda peridotite and the Jubrique and Nieves Units is a large extensional shear zone, the peridotite massif itself being a mantle core complex similar to those observed along slow mid-oceanic ridges. The question of the timing of extension remains open;
– the observed clustering of radiometric ages around 20 Ma results from a sudden exhumation episode of the entire Alboran Domain triggered by slab retreat and delamination inducing the ingress of asthenospheric mantle and the partial melting of the deepest part of the accretionary wedge in the west and its decoupling from the subducting lithosphere.

We then proposed a scenario of the evolution of the Betic-Rif Internal Zones in maps and cross-sections based on a discussion and reevaluation of the geological data base. The different evolutions of the western Alpujárride units compared to the eastern and central Alpujárride is the consequence of (1) the non-cylindrical geometry of the African and Iberian passive margins in the Mesozoic and (2) the complex 3-D behavior of the slab during retreat and delamination.

The non-cylindricity of the Betic-Rif orogen is then the consequence of two main factors:
– the first factor of the 3D complexity is the tectonic inheritance from the 3D geometry of the pre-orogenic template. The difference in the $P$-$T$ evolution of the eastern and western Alpujárride units is a consequence of their paleogeographic situation. The eastern and central Alpujárride were subducted and exhumed early above a normal oceanic subduction associated with a cold $P$-$T$ gradient, while the western Alpujárride units correspond to the subduction of a thinned continental crust without any large oceanic domain. The progressive digestion of these different domains from east to west led to this difference in $P$-$T$ evolution with warmer conditions in the west. This contrast is exacerbated by the slab tearing episode that led to the ingress of asthenospheric material below the orogen and facilitated exhumation. Those units that were exhumed early have escaped the Miocene heating while those stored at depth recorded a high-temperature overprint. Such along-strike differentiation is probably true of all orogens, but it is more sensitive in small mountain belts where the original geometry is still partly preserved and the overall shortening not large;
– the second factor is the complex slab dynamics in a confined space typical of the Mediterranean orogens. The main reason for the sudden change in the exhumation kinematics some 20 Ma ago is the slab tearing event that occurred when the retreating AlKaPeCa block docked on the North African margin. This complex dynamics is also a consequence of the complex 3-D geometry of the African and Eurasian margins of the Neo-Tethys.

Despite the detailed knowledge of both the Betic Cordillera and the Rif accumulated so far, some basic questions still remain open. One of the most important questions is probably the emplacement mechanism of the Ronda and Beni Bousera peridotite and its timing. The exact timing and kinematics of the superposition of the Alpujárride-Sebtide on top of the Nevada-Filábride is another important question. One of the main tools needed to go forward is a better and more systematic radiochronological study on unambiguous material that are less likely to have recorded a succession of pre-Alpine tectono-metamorphic events.
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